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Dissemination of Research in HCI
Research Cycle

- Research idea/hunch
- published paper
- related work
- res. questions
- res. plan
- reviews
- rewrite...
- paper submission
- talk @ conference
- peer reviews
- you

✔
+
Criteria for a Good Paper

- **Contribution**: What new insights does it bring to the field?
- **Benefits**: What can you learn from this / do with this?
- **Novelty**: Prior publications?
- **Validity**: Are the claims properly backed up?
- **Applicability**: How good does the paper match the likely audience?
- **Format**: Readability and clarity
Structure of a Review

• Overall rating (e.g., at CHI): 1: definite reject – 5: definite accept
• Short summary of the contributions and benefits
  • “This paper presents…” (who) will benefit from (what)
• Concerns
  • Originality
  • Validity
  • Clarity
• Suggestions for improvement
• Reviewer’s expertise: 1: no knowledge – 4 expert
Reviewing Checklist

- Recommending accept
  - Convince yourself that it has no serious defects
  - Convince the editor that it is of an acceptable standard, by explaining why it is original, valid, and clear
  - List the changes that should be made before it appears in print
    - Where possible: indicating not just what to change but what to change it to
  - Take reasonable care in checking details, e.g., mathematics, formulas, and bibliography

- Recommending reject
  - Clearly explain the faults and, where possible, discuss how they could be rectified
  - Indicate which parts of the work are of value and which should be discarded
  - Check the paper to a reasonable level of detail

From Writing for Computer Science (Zobel, 2004)
Reviewing Checklist

• Always do the following in either case
  • Provide good references with which the authors should be familiar
  • Ask yourself whether your comments are fair, specific, and polite
  • Be honest about your limitations as a referee of that paper
  • Check your review carefully as you would check one of your own paper prior to submission

From Writing for Computer Science (Zobel, 2004)
Sample Peer Reviewing Process

Authors

Submit the paper (21 September)

Rebuttal (19–23 November)

Submit camera-ready version (6 January)

Present at the conference (6 May)

Conference

3 External researchers provide anonymous reviews (by late October)

Meta reviewer summarizes the reviews, adds own opinion (November 18)

Program committee (PC) meeting (early December)

http://chi2017.acm.org/papers.html
HCI Design Patterns
In-Class Exercise

You are a software developer working on a new software project. List all other disciplines/professions/stakeholders that you think you will need to involve as part of your team.
Problem: Interdisciplinary Design

- User
- MAOCE
- Developer

Communication

interdisciplinary methods

values respect
What’s a Design Pattern?

• A design pattern describes a successful solution to a recurring contextualized design problem in a consistent format that is readable by non-experts and networked into a language.
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Patterns of Events and Space

“A building or town is given its character, essentially, by those events that keep on happening there most often.”
Patterns of Events and Space

- QWAN
- Inhabitants create better environments
- Participatory design!
Pattern Languages
Patterns Balance Forces

- Patterns solve a problem of conflicting forces
- Example: WINDOW PLACE (psychological)
  - People naturally drawn towards light
  - But like to sit
- Forces can be social, economic, natural, or physical
... if all is well, the outdoor areas are largely made up of positive spaces—POSITIVE OUTDOOR SPACES (106); in some fashion you have marked boundaries between gardens and streets, between terraces and gardens, between outdoor rooms and terraces, between play areas and gardens—GREEN STREETS (51), PEDESTRIAN STREET (100), HALF-HIDDEN GARDEN (111), HIERARCHY OF OPEN SPACE (114), PATH SHAPE (121), ACTIVITY POCKETS (124), PRIVATE TERRACE ON THE STREET (140), OUTDOOR ROOM (163), OPENING TO THE STREET (165), GALLERY SURROUND (166), GARDEN GROWING WILD (172). With this pattern, you can help these natural boundaries take on their proper character, by building walls, just low enough to sit on, and high enough to mark the boundaries.

If you have also marked the places where it makes sense to build seats—SEAT SPOTS (241), FRONT DOOR BENCH (242)—you can kill two birds with one stone by using the walls as seats which help enclose the outdoor space wherever its positive character is weakest.

**Context**

In many places walls and fences between outdoor spaces are too high; but no boundary at all does injustice to the subtlety of the divisions between the spaces.

Consider, for example, a garden on a quiet street. Somewhere along the edge between the two there is a need for a seam, a place which unites the two, but does so without breaking down the fact that they are separate places. If there is a high wall or a hedge, then the people in the garden have no way of being connected to the street; the people in the street have no way of being connected to the garden. But if there is no barrier at all—then the division between the two is hard to maintain. Stray dogs can wander in and out at will; it is even uncomfortable to sit in the garden, because it is essentially like sitting in the street.
CONSTRUCTION

The problem can only be solved by a kind of barrier which functions as a barrier which separates, and as a seat which joins, at the same time.

A low wall or balustrade, just at the right height for sitting, is perfect. It creates a barrier which separates. But because it invites people to sit on it—it invites them to sit first with their legs on one side, then with their legs on top, then to swivel round still further to the other side, or to sit astride it—it also functions as a seat, which makes a positive connection between the two places.

Examples: A low wall with the children's sandbox on one side, circulation path on the other; low wall at the front of the garden, connecting the house to the public path; a sitting wall that is a retaining wall, with plants on one side, where people can sit close to the flowers and eat their lunch.

Ruskin describes a sitting wall he experienced:

Last summer I was lodging for a little while in a cottage in the country, and in front of my low window there were, first, some beds of daisies, then a row of gooseberry and currant bushes, and then a low wall about three feet above the ground, covered with stone-cress. Outside, a corn-field, with its green ears glinting in the sun, and a field path through it, just past the garden gate. From my window I could see every peasant of the village who passed that way, with basket on arm for market, or spade on shoulder for field. When I was inclined for society, I could lean over my wall, and talk to anybody; when I was inclined for science, I could botanize all along the top of my wall—there were four species of stone-cress alone growing on it; and when I was inclined for exercise, I could jump over my wall, backwards and forwards. That's the sort of fence to have in a Christian country; not a thing which you can't walk inside of without making yourself look like a wild beast, nor look at out of your window in the morning without expecting to see somebody impaled upon it in the night. (John Ruskin, *The Two Paths*, New York: Everyman's Library, 1907, p. 203.)

Therefore:

Surround any natural outdoor area, and make minor boundaries between outdoor areas with low walls, about 16 inches high, and wide enough to sit on, at least 12 inches wide.

Diagram

Place the walls to coincide with natural seat spots, so that extra benches are not necessary—*seat spots* (241); make them of brick or tile, if possible—*soft tile and brick* (248); if they separate two areas of slightly different height, pierce them with holes to make them balustrades—*ornament* (249). Where they are in the sun, and can be large enough, plant flowers in them or against them—*raised flowers* (245). . . .
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Designing with Patterns

Design is unfolding
Piecemeal Growth
OOPSLA ’87: The Smalltalk Experiment

- Kent Beck (Apple), Ward Cunningham (Tektronix)
- Problem: E-R does not work for OOP
- End-user programming: Alexander
- Guiding designer
- 5 Smalltalk window design patterns (GUI!)
  - Example: COLLECT LOW-LEVEL PROTOCOL
- Successful experiment with non-Smalltalk-programmers
- Started software design patterns
• Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson, John Vlissides: Design Patterns (1995)
• 23 patterns for software engineering
  • Creational, structural, behavioral
  • Famous: Singleton, AbstractFactory, Adapter, Façade
• Each pattern ~10 book pages of text
(Notation Cheat Sheet: See Gamma book, back cover)
AbstractFactory Pattern: WidgetFactory Example

WidgetFactory
- CreateScrollBar()
- CreateWindow()

MotifWidgetFactory
- CreateScrollBar()
- CreateWindow()

PMWidgetFactory
- CreateScrollBar()
- CreateWindow()

Client

Window

PMWindow

MotifWindow

ScrollBar

PMScrollBar

MotifScrollBar
AbstractFactory Pattern: The General Solution

AbstractFactory

ConcreteFactory1

ConcreteFactory2

AbstractProductA

AbstractProductB

Client

CreateProductA()
CreateProductB()

CreateProductA()
CreateProductB()

CreateProductA()
CreateProductB()

CreateProductA()
CreateProductB()

ProductA2

ProductA1

ProductB2

ProductB1
GoF Book: Evaluation

- Highly successful among developers
  - Great for expert communication
  - Instead of reading code
- Not complete language
  - Workarounds instead of good design?
- Not readable by non-developers
  - 50% implementation details
  - Not empowering users
  - Language, intent, audience, values?
- The “Trial”
  - OOPSLA 1999
PLoP Conferences

- PLoP Conference Series
  - Special format: non-academic, shepherding, proceedings
  - Strangely omits HCI area for a long time
  - PLoP 1998: “Have we exhausted this [HCI] field?”
- The OOPSLA’96 keynote by Alexander
The OOPSLA’96 keynote by Alexander

• Annual ACM Conference on Object-Oriented Programming, Systems, Languages, and Applications

• Had been the location of patterns “birth” 9 years before

• Alexander was invited to comment on the efforts of the SW community in creating patterns, such as the GoF book and others

• His remarks were quite devastating, but also very helpful to understand his ideas…