



CTHCI Lab 3 Designing a User Study



User Study Protocol*

- A document that explicitly states why a research project is being conducted and how
- Purpose:
 - Clearly state the research question and hypotheses
 - Plan the research procedure in details
 - A guide for all involved personal
 - Monitor research progress



Protocol Structure: The Research Problem

- Title "Evaluating the performance of a new keyboard layout"
- Research problem "We intend to find if our new keyboard layout performs faster and with less errors than the QWERTY keyboard. The new layout would lead to smaller form factors."
- Context "There have been many new layouts that appear to perform faster than QWERTY but lead to fatigue [X, Y, Z]"
- Aim (derived from context)
- Hypotheses "There is no difference in typing speed between the new layout and QWERTY"



Protocol Structure: The Research Method 1/2

- Independent variables & dependent variables (levels, operational definition, measurement scale and unit)
- Task "The user will perform a composition task using statements from MacKenzie et al. (CHI 2003). The participant will do the following activities to complete the task..."
- Subjects/Participants (number, main characteristics, criteria to include or exclude them)
- Experimental design (within or between groups and how the conditions will be assigned)



Protocol Structure: The Research Method 2/2

- Experiment setup and/or apparatus (such as hardware or special features in the testing space)
- Experiment procedure (what the experimenter will do to setup the testing space)
- Data analysis methods
- References
- Include images or sketches if informative
- Write this section in future tense



In-class Exercise: Reconstruct a Protocol

- Watch paper video
- Look at the User Study section in the given paper
- Reconstruct the protocol
- Evaluate against the authors' protocol
- How did the paper attempt to establish internal and external validity?



Understanding Finger Input Above Desktop Devices hci.rwth-aachen.de/fins

Chat Wacharamanotham Kashyap Todi Marty Pye Jan Borchers

CHI 2014 Room 718A April 29th, 9:00 – 10:20

Protocol Evaluation Check List

- Is the research question stated clearly?
- Is there any alternative interpretation of the question?
- Suppose you can accept the stated hypotheses, does it contribute to the understanding of the research question?
- Are variables defined clearly on the operational level?
- Is there more than one possible interpretation for the variables?

- Is the experimental design chosen carefully with consideration of the trade-offs?
- Are the statistical methods specified?
- Are the resources needed to conduct the experiment stated?
- Is the duration of the experiment appropriate?
- Ultimate question: If you had no idea about the experiment before, could you pick up this protocol, set up, and conduct the experiment? (Replicability)



Reading Assignment

- Required means content from this paper is examinable
- Assignments so far
 - Last week: (MacKenzie, 2007) Evaluation of Text Entry Techniques
 - This week:
 - (LaToza, T. D., & Myers, B. A., ICSE 2010) Developers ask reachability questions
 - (McGrath, 1995) Methodology matters. Read before the next lab!
- A02 will be on our public website today

