Assignment 1 (addendum)

Search, Classify, and Summarize (Peer feedback)

Peer feedback due May 02, 2016, 6:00 AM

You will receive links Google Docs with two of your peers' submission. Give feedback to them by adding **comments** in the document. For each of the submission, you will need to read the title, abstract, and conclusion of the two main papers that your peer selected. In the final submission, your peer will be able to rate the feedback quality. This will influence the pairing for peer feedback in the next assignment. If you give high quality feedback in this assignment, we will pair you with the team that give high quality feedback for the next round of peer feedback.

Paper summarization:

- Do you agree with the main contribution described in the statement? If not, describe potential misunderstanding that you see.
- Rate how precise is the statement (1 = worst, 5 = best). Suggest alternative words/ phrases that may improve the precision of the statement.

Example:

Imprecise	*Precise*
Many factors were tested	**Three** factors were tested
Found that method A **is better** than B	Found that A **yield less error** than B
Presents **a new keyboard** for text input	Presents a **swipe gesturing keyboard** for text input.

- Rate how concise is the statement (1 = worst, 5 = best). Suggest alternative words/ phrase that may improve the conciseness of the statement.

Example:

Lengthy	*Concise*
Presents an experiment to investigate the influence of keyboard layout to error rate. The results shows that layout A has significantly lower error rate by 5%	An experiment shows that error rate in layout A yields 5% less error than layout B.
This paper introduces a new view on how to recognize touch by visual features of the finger. It improves precision of touch recognition by 5%.	Using visual features of the finger for touch recognition improves precision by 5%.

Paper linage visualization

- Rate how good the linage graph and description shows clear relationship among selected paper in one (or two) coherent story (1 = worst, 5 = best).
- Are there any paper that you cannot see a clear relationship with others? If any, name them.
- For each edge in the graph, were the description of the connecting nodes relate to each other such that the output of one work become an input of the other? Name the edges that you think the connections are still unclear.
- Suggest any improvements to make the description more precise and concise.

Paper classification

- Do you agree with the research approach and contribution type identified for each paper? If not, add a comment on which types you believe they are and justify your reason.