

# Midterm

## CTHCI Lab 6 Mock PC Meeting

*Prof. Dr. Jan Borchers*  
*Media Computing Group*  
*RWTH Aachen University*  
*Summer Semester 2013*  
<http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/cthci>

- Date: June 4th, 2013
- Time: 10:00–11:30
- Place: Room 5056
- Lecture videos:
  - <http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/videos/cthci13/S01.m4v>
  - <http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/videos/cthci13/S02.m4v>
  - <http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/videos/cthci13/S03.m4v>
  - <http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/videos/cthci13/S04.m4v>



## Plan

- Reading assignment
- Mock PC Meeting logistics
- Mock PC Meeting trials



## Reading Assignment

- Required reading
  - Interactive Construction (Mueller et al., 2012)
- Recommended reading
  - FreeD (Zoran and Paradiso, CHI '13)
- PC Meeting reading
  - Everyone: read title, abstract, and introduction; skim the paper
  - Presenter group and Moderator group: read in depth
  - LaserOrigami (Mueller et al., CHI 2013)



# Assignment 2: Write a Review

- In groups of six, write a review for
  - Interactive Construction (Mueller et al., 2012)
- Submission: One page A4 (Helvetica or Arial 10pt)
- Timeline
  - Start the assignment after midterm: Tuesday, June, 4th
  - Deadline: Wednesday, June 12th, 2013 before 12:00 noon
- Graded assignment: 5% total score of the course

REQUIRED  
Write a review



# Reading for Discussion: Goals

- Assess the contributions of the paper
  - Why was this paper accepted at the conference?
- Extract big ideas that you learned to share with the class
  - What are the new {design, process, method or product} being sold in this paper?
- Decide whether you will accept this paper or not and justify your decision



# Mock PC Meeting

- Purpose: Assess contribution of the papers and decide whether to accept them to be presented at a leading HCI conference
- Groups
  - **Presenter:** Present the summary of the paper and their critiques
  - **Audience:** Ask clarification questions on the paper and the critiques
  - **Moderator:** control and record the discussion



# Roles in Mock PC Meeting

	Prepare	Present	Critique	Judge
	Read in-depth and prepare criticisms	Present the contribution, benefits, and the useful details	Present the critiques and raise points for discussion	• {accept, reject, hold}
	Skim and prepare a list of questions and issues	Ask clarification questions about the paper	Evaluate and ask questions about the critiques	• Justify • Further issues
	Moderate and take notes			

- Before Friday of the same week, the Moderator group upload the notes of the discussion to L2P



# Timespan Suggestion

