CTHCI Lab 6
Mock PC Meeting

Chat Wacharamanotham
Media Computing Group
RWTH Aachen University
Summer Semester 2013
http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/cthci
Midterm

- Date: June 4th, 2013
- Time: 10:00–11:30
- Place: Room 5056

Lecture videos:
- http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/videos/cthci13/S01.m4v
- http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/videos/cthci13/S02.m4v
- http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/videos/cthci13/S03.m4v
- http://hci.rwth-aachen.de/videos/cthci13/S04.m4v
Plan

- Reading assignment
- Mock PC Meeting logistics
- Mock PC Meeting trials
Reading Assignment

• Required reading
  • Interactive Construction (Mueller et al., 2012)

• Recommended reading
  • FreeD (Zoran and Paradiso, CHI ’13)

• PC Meeting reading
  • Everyone: read title, abstract, and introduction; skim the paper
  • Presenter group and Moderator group: read in depth
  • LaserOrigami (Mueller et al., CHI 2013)
Assignment 2: Write a Review

• In groups of six, write a review for
  • Interactive Construction (Mueller et al., 2012)
  
• Submission: One page A4 (Helvetica or Arial 10pt)

• Timeline
  • Start the assignment after midterm: Tuesday, June, 4th
  • Deadline: Wednesday, June 12th, 2013 before 12:00 noon

• Graded assignment: 5% total score of the course
Reading for Discussion: Goals

• Assess the contributions of the paper
  • Why was this paper accepted at the conference?

• Extract big ideas that you learned to share with the class
  • What are the new {design, process, method or product} being sold in this paper?

• Decide whether you will accept this paper or not and justify your decision
Mock PC Meeting

• Purpose: Assess contribution of the papers and decide whether to accept them to be presented at a leading HCI conference

• Groups
  • Presenter: Present the summary of the paper and their critiques
  • Audience: Ask clarification questions on the paper and the critiques
  • Moderator: Control and record the discussion
## Roles in Mock PC Meeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prepare</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Critique</th>
<th>Judge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Read in-depth</td>
<td>Present the contribution, benefits, and the</td>
<td>Present the critiques and raise points for</td>
<td>• {accept, reject, hold}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and prepare</td>
<td>useful details</td>
<td>discussion</td>
<td>• Justify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>criticisms</td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluate and ask questions about the critiques</td>
<td>• Further issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skim and</td>
<td>Ask clarification questions about the paper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prepare a list</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of questions and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Moderate and take notes

- Before Friday of the same week, the Moderator group upload the notes of the discussion to L2P
Timespan Suggestion

Present  Critique 1  R&D Offline discussion  Critique 2  Judge  Buffer