# Last Tuesday in Current Topics...

- Contrast between empirical science and ethnography approach
- Triangulation
- Three key attributes of good research using engineering & design approach
- How to treat "other variables"
- Internal validity vs. external validity



## "Current" Topics



### HCI Research Literacy III

Results and Dissemination with Examples from Midair Input



#### Applications of Midair Input

4



#### A Handlebar Metaphor Available at: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2208585



Song et al., CHI '12

#### Going beyond the surface Available at: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2208583

Expanding interactive surfaces

Spindler et al., CHI '12

# Understanding Naturalness and Intuitiveness in Gesture Production

#### Available at: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1979061

Communication with gestures

Grandhi et al., CHI 'II

# Benefits and Drawbacks of Midair Input

- + High degree-of-freedom
- + Move beyond desk/mobile
- + Natural way for gestural communication
- Noisy input and accidental activation
- Exertion: The Gorilla Arm problem
- Privacy and social acceptance



#### Midair Pointing



(Vogel & Balakrishnan, UIST '05)





# Characterizing Design Space of Midair Pointing

**Interaction Dimensions** 



# User Study: Effect of DoF and Visual Feedback

- Degrees of freedom
  - Ray casting: pitch and yaw
  - 2D plane: high, left
  - 3D volume: high, left, back





# User Study: Effect of DoF and Visual Feedback



- Gradually reducing feedback
  - Full visual feedback: target location, origin, cursor
  - Hide the cursor
  - Hide the origin location, target, and cursor
  - No visual feedback



# User Study: Effect of DoF and Visual Feedback

- Degrees of freedom
  - Ray casting: pitch and yaw
  - 2D plane: high, left
  - 3D volume: high, left, back

- Gradually reducing feedback
  - Full visual feedback: target location, origin, cursor
  - Without cursor
  - Without origin location and cursor
  - No visual feedback

Speed, accuracy, ...

In-class exercise: Sketch two graphs showing the result





#### DATA: BY THE NUMBERS



"Piled Higher and Deeper" by Jorge Cham www.phdcomics.com

## Reading the Results



## Statistics in Experimental Research



# ANOVA: Analysis of Variance



Maximal model

- Goal: partition the variance from different sources
- Method: fit different models and determine how good the models explain the data
  - Maximal model: one parameter per data point
  - Null model: all data points are represented by
  - Determine just adequate candidate model that fits the data







# ANOVA: Analysis of Variance

- Assess goodness of fit
  - Candidate model fits better than null model  $\Rightarrow$  The effect is statistically significant
  - Candidate model fits as well as null model  $\Rightarrow$  The effect is not statistically significant
- Both mean and variance matter: Examples here are simplified



#### Main Effect

- Effect that each independent variable has to the dependent variable
  - Shown by mean of each level of a variable
- Main effect of interface and feedback type to selection time



#### In-class Exercise: Main Effect

 Draw graphs comparing the main effects of interface and feedback to the accuracy and discuss your analysis with your neighbor



#### Interaction Effect

- Effect of one independent variable depends on the particular level of another independent variable
  - Visualized by non-parallel lines connecting the same level of a variable
- Distance increases in 3D more rapidly than in 2D and Raycasting



#### In-class Exercise

• Draw graphs comparing the interaction effects interface × feedback to the selection time and discuss your analysis with your neighbor



# Putting Them All Together

- Regardless of feedback, Raycasting and 2D plane are comparable in speed
- Raycasting is slightly less accurate
- 3D volume is much slower and less accurate across the board



"To call in the statistician after the experiment is done may be no more than asking him to perform a post-mortem examination: he may be able to say what the experiment died of." — Ronald Fisher



#### Dissemination

## Peer Reviewing Process



\_27

media computing group

#### Criteria for a Good Paper

- Contribution: What new insight does it bring to the field?
- Benefits: What can one learn from this / do with this?
- Novelty: Prior publications?
- Validity: Are the claims properly backed up?
- Applicability: How good does the paper match the likely audience?
- Format: Readability and clarity

#### Structure of a Review

- Overall rating: I: definite reject 5: definite accept
- Short summary of the contributions and benefits
  - "This paper presents... (who) will benefit from (what)
- Concerns
  - Originality
  - Validity
  - Clarity
- Suggestions for improvement
- Reviewer's expertise: I: no knowledge 4 expert



# **Reviewing Checklist**

#### Recommending accept

- Convince yourself that it has no serious defects
- Convince the editor that it is of an acceptable standard, by explaining why it is original, valid, and clear
- List the changes that should be made before it appears in print Where possible: indicating not just *what to change* but *what to change it to*
- Take reasonable care in checking details, e..g, mathematics, formulas, and bibliography
- Recommending reject
  - Clearly explain the faults and, where possible, discuss how they could be rectified
  - Indicate which parts of the work are of value and which should be discarded
  - Check the paper to a reasonable level of detail

From Writing for Computer Science (Zobel, 2004)



# **Reviewing Checklist**

- Always do the following in either case
  - Provide good references with which the authors should be familiar
  - Ask yourself whether your comments are fair, specific, and polite
  - Be honest about your limitations as a referee of that paper
  - Check your review carefully as you would check one of your own paper prior to submission



#### Assignment I:Write a Review

#### Reading assignments

- Pointing at 3D Target Projections with One-Eyed and Stereo Cursors (Teather and Stuerzlinger, CHI '13)
- A Comparison of Ray Pointing Techniques for Very Large Displays (Jota et al., GI '10)
- Towards a Standard for Pointing Device Evaluation: Perspectives on 27 Years of Fitts' Law research in HCI. (Soukoreff and MacKenzie, Int. J. Human–Computer Study, 2004)

REQUIRED Write a review

REQUIRED

Skim & Reference



# Assignment I:Write a Review

- In groups of six, write a review for
  - Pointing at 3D Target Projections with One-Eyed and Stereo Cursors (Teather and Stuerzlinger, CHI '13)



- Submission: One page A4 (Helvetica or Arial 12pt)
- Timeline
  - First submission deadline: Friday, May 3rd, 2013 before 12:00 noon
  - Group feedback: Wednesday, May 8th, 2013 in the lab
  - Revise-and-resubmit deadline: Wednesday, May 14th, 2013 before 12:00 noon
- Graded assignment: 5% total score of the course



# Coming Up Next...



- Enjoy your CHI 2013 with video previews: http://chischedule.org/2013/
- May 7th: No lecture: Student Representative Council Meetings
- May 8th: Lab Feedback of Assignment I
- May 14th: Lecture Human Computation by Leonhard Lichtschlag