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Abstract

In this thesis we present the Multitouchkit (MTK), a software framework for touch input and tangibles on tabletops and mobile devices, which is dedicated to ease the development of multi-touch applications on MacOs and iOS. In contrast to other frameworks, the MTK can be used in Apple's development environment. It is based on SpriteKit, a framework by Apple to develop applications with rich 2D graphics. The MTK is the first framework to support PUCs and PERCs introduced by Voelker et al. [2013, 2015]. The MTK enables developers to use any input hardware as source of touch information and use it in an MacOS and iOS application.
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Conventions

Throughout this thesis we use the following conventions.

The thesis is written in American English.

MTK is short for Multitouchkit, which is the name of the described framework.

The thesis is written in first person plural. This was not used because several persons worked on this thesis but for esthetical reasons.

The MTK is based on a prototype version created by Simon Voelker. The prototype was fully revisited, refactored, documented, redesigned and extended.

Any term that is introduced for the first time is written in italic. Following appearances will not be italic. The exclusion of this convention are Objective-C methods, which are always written italic. Additionally are these functions reduced to a form without parameters. For example, -(void)updateWithTimestamp:(NSTimeInterval)timestamp will be reduced to updateWithTimestamp:
Chapter 1

Introduction

Multi-touch interaction reached everyday life. Consumers use it in smartphones, tablets, laptops, tabletops, and more. An extension of multi-touch that is not commercially available yet is the use of tangible widgets which we will call tangibles.

Tangibles are objects that can be recognized by multi-touch surfaces. Researched for over a decade they are often referred to be useful in a large variety of application scenarios in combination with multi-touch surfaces [Rekimoto 2002] [Terrenghi et al. 2007]. Due to their shapes tangibles can give haptic feedback, which is mostly missing on multi-touch surfaces. This allows eyes-free interaction with multi-touch surfaces, which is else very cumbersome or impossible [Weiss et al. 2009].

One of the reasons why tangibles did not reach everyday life may be that most of the commercially available multi-touch devices use capacitive touch technology. While tangibles on capacitive touch technology were already researched for over a decade [Rekimoto 2002], PUCs - Passive Untouched Capacitive Widgets by Voelker et al. [2013] are the first tangibles that could be detected on commercially available and unmodified multi-touch displays. Other research relies on the user touching the tangible [Yu et al. 2011], uses modified touch displays [Liang et al. 2014], or used touch surfaces which use infrared light to
Introduction

To improve PUCs and increase the number of possible applications for tangibles on unmodified multi-touch surfaces [Voelker et al., 2015] developed PERCs - Persistently Trackable Tangibles on Capacitive Multi-Touch Displays. Those new tangibles solve some problems that could arise when using PUCs. For example if a PUC does not move it will last only 5-30 seconds, depending on the filter mechanics of the recognition hardware. This arises the problem that the software can not distinguish between a tangible that was lifted and one that was filtered [Voelker et al., 2015]. Which other problems PERCs solve and how those and PUCs exactly work is described in Section 3.3.

PERCs can be detected on many commercially available multi-touch surfaces and can be build with costs lower than 25 Dollar [Voelker et al., 2015]. Hereby they open a wide range of possible applications. A software framework that enables applications to receive multi-touch events and helps recognizing tangibles could ease the development process of such applications. We listed several frameworks and toolkits in Section 2 which have these properties. None of these is capable of detecting PERCs. This was no surprise since PERCs were developed only recently, but also none of the frameworks support the development of native iOS or MacOS applications.

Neither MacOS or iOS support tangibles. Apple’s MacOS and iOS are the most used operating systems after Windows and Android. The AppStore offers about 1.5 million applications. Unfortunately neither MacOS nor iOS support any tangibles. MacOS is not even capable of multi-touch other than the recognition of gestures via trackpad. Therefore the demand for such a framework exists.

To fulfill this demand we decided to develop a framework that enables developers to create rich 2D applications that are capable of multi-touch and tangible interaction. The framework should be written in Objective-C or Swift to be fully compatible with all Apple development tools and applications written for any Apple operating system. Additionally the developers should be able to use any commer-
cially available input source in combination with the framework, but focus should be towards multi-touch surfaces.

With these requirements in mind we implemented the Multitouchkit, short MTK, which we present in Chapter 3. We will evaluate the MTK with the feature list for multi-touch frameworks presented by Kammer et al. [2010] in Chapter 4. Before these chapters we will discuss existing frameworks and their fit for our requirements.
Chapter 2

Related work

In our search for software frameworks we set the requirements that it is written in either Objective-C or Swift and that it supports multi-touch and tangible input from many different input sources. Many of the found frameworks support different hardware as input for multi-touch and tangibles, but none was written in Objective-C or Swift. We now shortly explain each of the most relevant frameworks and toolkits.

**GestureWorks** is a SDK written in C++ to support the development of multi-touch applications written in different programming languages like C++, C#, Java, and Python. It is distributed and developed by Ideum. Unfortunately the framework does not allow the development of applications for MacOS or iOS. Additionally the framework does not support tangibles and is not open source, therefore an adaption of the framework was not possible for us [Ideum].

**Breezemultitouch** is a multi-touch framework that is targeted to show all internals of windows processes. Breezemultitouch allows in comparison to Windows 7 the developer to see how the rotate, move, etc. actions are interpreted, and allows to change this interpretation process. It targets Windows platforms by relying on Windows Presentation Foundation (WPF). None of the found frameworks was written in Objective-C or Swift.

**GestureWorks** is a commercial framework developed by Ideum.

**Breezemultitouch** is targeted to Microsoft Windows.
Related work

Miria is a multi-device input SDK for Silverlight and Moonlight. The SDK includes a set of multi-touch ready and gestures based user controls. Unfortunately it does not support MacOS or iOS, neither it does have any support for tangibles [CodePlex].

jQMultitouch is a lightweight toolkit and development framework for multi-touch web interface development [Nebeling and Norrie, 2012]. It is inspired by jQuery and allows the use of different browsers which support touch events. It unifies these touch events to allow the development of cross-browser applications. Additionally it includes default gesture recognizer, gesture templates, touch support as well as touch histories. Nebeling and Norrie [2012] reported that the implementation has performance issues.

Midas is a Java framework that is developed to provide adequate software engineering abstractions for developers to close the gap between the evolution in the multi-touch technology and software detection mechanisms. It mostly focuses on the declarative definition of gestures. This enables the developer to easily implement gestures without the need of processing a continuous stream of data from an input source. Midas allows the unification of input sources and has an easy way to allow developers the usage of gesture. The framework is not open source and has no support for tangibles [Scholliers et al., 2011].

TUJO is a protocol defined to transport touch and tangible information between hardware and software layer via network [Kaltenbrunner et al., 2005]. It became the de facto standard protocol to use [Laufs and Ruff, 2010]. Kaltenbrunner and Bencina [2007] for example use it in their framework reacTIVision, an open source, cross-platform computer vision framework allowing the track-
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1https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ms754130
2http://www.microsoft.com/silverlight
3http://www.mono-project.com/moonlight
4https://jquery.com
ing of fiducial markers attached onto physical objects and multi-touch finger tracking. The framework is not listed in this chapter due to the fact that it is fully focused on visual tracking. Given its high popularity in research we added the support for receiving relevant TUIO data to the MTK.

**TUIO AS3** is a toolkit that supports rapid prototyping of multi-touch user interfaces in combination with tangible. It was presented by [Luderschmidt et al., 2010] and is based on TUIO and [ActionScript]. The idea of TUIO AS3 was basically to enable applications written for Adobe Flash to use TUIO. This was an innovation since TUIO needs UDP or TCP connection which could not be easily achieved with Adobe Flash.

**Argos** is a graphical user interface builder for multi-touch applications, focused in musical performance and sound synthesis. One of the main goals of Argos is to provide a suite of C++ classes to facilitate the creation of innovative and experimental UI widgets. Argos is written in C++ and is thereby able to work on all major operating systems. It is also able to receive input data from many commercial multi-touch devices [Diakopoulos and Kapur, 2010].

**MT4j** is a cross platform Java framework presented by [Laufs and Ruff, 2010]. It is focused to rapid and easy development of visually rich 2D and 3D applications. It is also able to support different kinds of input devices with a special focus on multi-touch support. The only support for tangibles is provided using TUIO, which is focused towards visually detected tangibles.

**Squidy** is a Java framework developed from 2007 to 2012 to ease the design of natural user interfaces by unifying various device drivers, frameworks and tracking toolkits in a common library and providing a central and easy-to-use visual design environment [König et al., 2009].

**Sparsh UI** is a project of the Iowa State University’s Virtual Reality Applications Center (VRAC). It focuses on enabling users to easily create multi-touch applications on a variety of hardware platforms. Sparsh UI supports applications

http://www.adobe.com/devnet/actionscript.htm
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Related work

**PyMT** is a python module for developing multi-touch enabled and media rich applications [Hansen et al., 2009]. [Laufs and Ruff, 2010] complain about performance issues in some parts written in Python. The developers promised rebuilds in C and C++ to improve the performance, but no such updates were done.

**Grafiti** is a C# framework built on top of a TUIO client which manages multi-touch interactions in tabletop interfaces. It can be considered a similar approach to the MTK. Grafiti is able to support several hardware due to its TUIO client and may help the developer in his creation process of an application [De Nardi].

**libTisch**, also called TISCH framework, is a project targeted to cross-platform development of novel UI applications [Echtler and Klinker, 2008]. Echtler and Klinker [2008] describe it as a project to combine the common traits of existing frameworks. The project is still active and focused towards vision based touch sensing. The framework has support for multi-touch, tangible interfaces, and full-body interaction. It is cross platform compatible with Linux, MacOS X and Windows.

**iGesture** is a Java-based gesture recognition framework focusing on extensibility and cross-application reusability. It includes tools for gesture recognition as well as the creation and management of gesture sets. iGesture focuses on single-touch and is meant as an extension of other software. It was not created to work as a framework that can gather different input sources of multi-touch hardware to help the developers creating multi-touch applications [Signer et al., 2007].

**TouchLib** is a library for creating multi-touch interaction surfaces. It is written in C++ and works on Windows. It has capabilities to send recognized touches via TUIO, allowing the connection to other operating systems like MacOS. Additionally it includes a configuration app and a few demos to get started [NUI Group].

written in C/C++ and Java [Ramanahally et al., 2009].

PyMT is written in python and has some serious performance issues.
The result of the search is that none of the found frameworks is written in Objective-C or Swift. Most frameworks choose a language that allows cross platform compatibilities. Based on these results we decided to implement the MTK and not to improve any existing framework to our needs. In the next chapter we will discuss our framework, the Multitouchkit.
Chapter 3

The Multitouchkit - MTK

In this chapter we explain the different features of the MTK and its concepts. We start with design decisions and will continue by explaining each of the features in more detail. In Chapter 4 we then categorize the MTK in the list of multitouch frameworks analyzed by Kammer et al. [2010].

3.1 General design decisions

Support of MacOS and iOS. The MTK is written in Objective-C to provide native support for MacOS and iOS. This also allows the use of any of Apple’s development tools. It would have been possible to use Swift instead but since the language is relatively new, still undergoing major changes and the prototype already written in Objective-C we decide to not use Swift.

SpriteKit. We decided to base the MTK on SpriteKit, a framework that provides a graphics rendering and animation infrastructure, which is often used to develop high-performance, battery efficient 2D games for iOS and

1https://developer.apple.com/spritekit/
MacOS. This should allow developers to build any UI or application required. SpriteKit and the MTK are focused towards 2D applications, but they can be easily extended using SceneKit to allow 3D elements. We had the option to use other frameworks like Unity or Cocos2D, but chose SpriteKit to continue our focus on developing for MacOS and iOS using Apple's development tools.

SpriteKit uses a traditional rendering loop where the content of each frame is processed before the frame is rendered, see Figure 3.1. Animation and rendering is performed by an SKView object. The content of this view is organized into scenes, which are represented by SKScene objects. The SKScene class is a descendant of the SKNode class. When using SpriteKit, nodes are the fundamental building blocks for all content, with the scene object acting as the root node for a tree of node objects. The scene and its children determine which content is drawn and how it is rendered.

To create an application using SpriteKit, one either subclasses the SKScene class or creates a scene delegate. An application that uses the MTK has to use an active MTKScene instead, which is a subclass of SKScene. This is due to the fact that the touch processing, as described in Section 3.2, is performed in one of SpriteKits callbacks.

AppleDoc. To provide a rich documentation that matches the MTK's relation to Apple's operating systems and frameworks we decided to use AppleDoc. AppleDoc is an open source project that generates Apple-like documentation based on the header file documentation, which we extensively included in the MTK. Additionally introductory tutorials and guides for common hardware setups are included in the documentation.

Support of several hardware types as input. One of our main requirements is that developers are able to easily use
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3http://unity3d.com
4http://www.cocos2d-x.org
5https://github.com/tomaz/appliedoc
any input hardware. We implemented the MTK to develop 2D multi-touch applications targeted for the use with iPhones, iPads, multi-touch tabletops and similar input hardware. Due to this fact the limitation of supported input hardware is that their sent information is transformable to an object of class MTKTrace, explained in Section 3.2.1, which has all properties to represent a touch point that was recognized by a multi-touch surface. The only required information to create such an MTKTrace object is a position in a 2D coordinate. Hardware input that does not fulfill this requirement can not be processed in a normal way by the MTK. To still use this hardware input developers might implement their own input source, as in Section 3.2.2, to receive the input data and process it themselves.

For many other input hardware, the MTK allows to choose between several implemented input sources, which each represents one type of input hardware. The already implemented sources cover all hardware setups we had in our development environment. Additionally the JSON and TUIO input sources can receive data via network, which allows the connection of nearly any input hardware. Developers can implement their own input source subclass for specific input sources, if none of the given options fits. A list of supported input source types and a description of how the implementations work, can be found in Section 3.2.2.

Support of multi-touch. The MTK supports an unrestricted number of touches of an unrestricted number of input sources at the same time. The only restriction we could think of is that the hardware may at some point be unable to render all cursors, which could cause a huge drop in the frame rate. All touches, independent from their input source, have a internal representation called MTKTrace, which we explain in Section 3.2.1. Additionally the MTK supports gestures by providing a set of standard recognizers and several customization options, described in Section 3.4.

---

http://json.org
PUCs and PERCs can be recognized by the MTK.

Support of tangibles. The second main requirement of the MTK is the support of tangibles. The MTK can recognize PUCs and PERCs. The detailed explanation of their recognition process can be found in Section 3.3. The current version of the MTK does not support other tangibles. As Kammer et al. [2010] reported, many other frameworks support tangibles using TUIO. This could be a valuable extension of the MTK and could be implemented in the future.

Support of standard and custom UI. The use of standard UI components in combination with touch is a common use case that is supported by most of the existing frameworks listed by Kammer et al. [2010] and should therefore be supported by the MTK, too. We implemented several standard UI components and created the possibility for developers to add custom build UI elements based on any SpriteKit node. This is achieved due to the fact that the touch processing is performed in a category of SKNode, which is the parent class of all nodes in a SpriteKit application. In Objective-C a category defines additional functionality of an existing class without subclassing it, even if the source code is unavailable. Therefore any node is automatically able to process touches and gestures, which allows the creation of many different UI elements. Section 3.2 describes all details about the touch processing.

Customizability. The MTK allows several settings in regard of input sources, output views, start scenes, tangibles and more. These configurations are saved in a XML file. We implemented the TouchControlCenter, short TCC, to provide a user interface to change these settings, as described in Section 3.6.

3.2 Touch Processing

In this section we explain the touch processing of the MTK, which spans from the collection of all input data to the pro-
3.2 Touch Processing

Figure 3.1: This image represents the calls executed by SpriteKit in each frame. This is a copy of the original on the Apple developer documentation website.

As mentioned earlier the MTK is based on SpriteKit. For that, we implemented the touch processing in the `update:` call of SpriteKit’s processing loop, seen in Figure 3.1. This callback is the first in each frame and allows us to perform anything before any other processing of SpriteKit is done. It is called on the active SKScene. An application that uses the MTK has to use an active MTKScene instead, which is a subclass of SKScene. The `update:` method of MTKScene starts the touch processing. Any subclass of MTKScene need to call its parent’s `update:` method in its own, to ensure the correct behavior of the MTK.

The touch processing in the MTK is split into two parts. The first part is the initialization, which starts with the updating of all MTKTrace objects. This is handled by each MTKInputSource. We will explain the classes MTKTrace and MTKInputSource the the following two sections. After this we will explain the initialization of the touch processing in Section 3.2.3 with the first part of the processing.
3.2.1 MTKTrace

All information of one touch is saved in one MTKTrace object. Each object of class MTKTrace, which we will call `trace`, represents the lifetime of one touch. A touch is normally anything that is recognized by the hardware as a human finger touching the multi-touch surface. For more specialized hardware it can be anything, but in the MTK it will be interpreted as a touch on a multi-touch surface.

The lifetime of a touch is saved in an entry for each frame. The lifetime of a touch, which is saved by a trace, consists of information saved each frame while the touch was recognized by the hardware. In each frame a new MTKEntry object is created and added to the trace containing all current information of the touch. The object is called `entry`. Each input hardware might offer different information for each touch, but at least a position per recognized touch is required. Additional information can be saved in the properties offered by the MTKTrace. Therefore traces are the most important source of information for all analysis on touch events, in particular the tangible detection and gesture recognizers rely on these objects. In the following paragraphs we will discuss what kind of information is saved in each trace. Some values are saved per frame, others per trace.

Each trace has an unique identifier. **Identifier.** The identifier is unique for each trace. While the application is running none of the traces will ever have the same identifier. The trace’s identifier is determined by the MTK and is not related to any identifier provided by the input hardware.

The type of the origin helps to categorize touches. **Type of origin.** The type of origin is defined by the input source that created the trace. It is used to identify from which kind of input source the trace was created. This is for example useful when implementing a gesture recognizer that only work for a specific kind of input source.

Identifies which input source created the trace. **Name of origin.** The name of origin is set by the input source which created the trace, similar to the type of origin. It is used to identify the exact input source that created the
3.2 Touch Processing

Figure 3.2: Different states of MTKTrace. Traces will start with a Begin state and finish in the End state. In between the state is always Move.

trace. This can be used for example to set a specific touch cursor for each input source.

State. In each frame the trace has one of three states, as seen in Figure 3.2. The state is saved in the entry created each frame. The first entry has the state Begin. It represents that the touch corresponding to the trace first appeared in this frame. The entry created in the frame in which the hardware signaled the end of the touch has the state End. This entry is also the last one, no further updates will reach the trace. The ended traces will be included in the processing for one last frame before they are moved to a set of old traces. All entries in between these will have the state Move, which implies that the touch is currently moving and thereby still updated.

Each trace can have one of three states.

Timestamp. All entries of the trace have a timestamp. The MTK determines one timestamp at the beginning of each frame. This timestamp is set in all entries created in that frame. This allows the comparison of events. For example all signals received from the tangibles bluetooth devices are also marked with the timestamp used in the frame and can thereby be compared to the timestamp of the trace’s Begin state.

The timestamps of all entries are determined by the MTK.
Position. Each entry contains the position of the trace in the active MTKScene. The transformation from hardware to scene coordinates is done by the input source. The hardware coordinates are also saved in the entries, but are not directly accessible. This avoids the misuse of the hardware position, but makes them still available if needed for additional analysis. A position for each active touch is the minimum information a input source has to provide, every other information can either be determined by context or set to standard values.

Size. Major and minor axis. The size of the trace is used as size for its cursor. The major and minor axis can also be sent by the hardware and may help to determine a trace's size and shape.

Orientation. The orientation is a vector defining in which direction the touch is pointing. If the hardware supports the orientation it will be set and can be taken in account in the cursor creation.

Compression. MTKTrace is the representation of the complete lifetime of one touch on the multi-touch surface. This history of all information of all traces is especially interesting when recognizing gestures, but this permanent allocation of new objects caused some problems in performance. Therefore we changed the entry not to be an object but a C struct. We allocate about 300 entries, which are about 5 seconds of the applications runtime, for each trace at a time and fill them before new entries are allocated. This stopped the permanent allocation of objects and increased the overall performance of the MTK. The C programming in this part is hidden from the user and unit tests were added to the MTK to ensure the reliability.

While the fact that we save all traces with their full history is a nice feature used for example by gesture recognizer, it will on long application runs use all memory and will cause the system to crash. To solve this problem we added
two settings to the MTK to reduce the amount of memory traces occupy.

The first possibility for the user to reduce memory requirements is to set a Compress Time. Any trace that is in state End for a longer period than the Compress Time will have their entries deleted. All static information that are properties of the trace are saved separately and will still be available. Other properties that relied on the analysis of entries will be unavailable. This option frees a lot of memory if traces have a long lifetime, but our experience showed that this is not the case. Therefore does this compress option not save much memory. To free more memory we added the second compress option, a Deletion Time.

The Deletion Time is similar to the Compress Time. A trace that is in state End for a longer period than the Deletion Time will be deleted. All remaining strong references of the MTK are deleted, which allows the system to free the memory. This is no enforced memory deallocation. The memory may not be freed if any other object has a strong reference to the trace. This option will drastically free memory and allows to avoid memory issues at long application runtimes.

3.2.2 MTKInputSource

Input sources are responsible for receiving data from input hardware and converting it into MTKTraces. We implemented several standard input sources to receive data from specific input hardware. Additionally we introduced a TUIO and a network (JSON) input source to provide support for any other possible input hardware. All these input sources are subclasses of MTKInputSource.

The touch processing starts in each frame with the update of all traces, by calling updateWithTime: for each active input source. Input sources that were configured in the TouchControlCenter will be automatically loaded, others can be added manually to the list of all input sources, which is located in the MTKTable. In the updateWithTime: call in-
Input sources are responsible for converting all received input data to MTKTraces. Already existing traces have to be updated and new ones need to be created.

It would improve the MTK to extract the transformation code.

Input sources have to transfer each touch position given in hardware coordinates to scene coordinates. The current implementation transfers them relatively using a given input and output resolution. In this part the MTK could be improved to allow a more modular transformation that can be customized for each input source. A solution we have planned for future work is the use of a delegate in each input source. The delegate could implement methods that allow an arbitrary transformation from any input to any output. This is for example interesting to show traces of a specific input source in only a specific area of the output.

This was not yet implemented due to time limitations and the fact that the MTK is still able to achieve this using the global delegate. As we will discuss later in this chapter exists a global delegate exists that is called right after the processing of all input sources. At this point all traces could still be modified before they are used for any other processing.

In the following paragraphs we will present all already implemented input sources, followed by the explanation on how to create a new one.

The MTK is still able to achieve an arbitrary transformation.

Mouse. One of the input source classes we implemented is able to process input data coming from an ordinary computer mouse under MacOS. A main purpose is to enable development without having a multi-touch interface connected. The mouse input source will emulate touch points from mouse events that are located in the scene. Any left mouse button press will generate a touch at the cursors position. The touch will follow all movements of the cursor while the left mouse button is held. The touch will disappear if the button is released. A right click creates a permanent touch at the cursors position. This touch can be dragged with the left mouse button and will disappear if clicked with the right mouse button.
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**UITouch.** This input source is our standard input source for iOS. UITouch is the default format in iOS to handle touch input. Therefore we implemented an input source that receives all UITouch events from the UIView, which is opened in any iOS application created with the MTK, and transforms them into MTKTraces.

**TUIO.** TUIO is a protocol to send touch and tangible events via network. It is widely used and is therefore addressed by the MTK. TUIO supports different types of touches and tangible objects ranging from 2D to 3D. Due to the fact that it is the de facto standard protocol to send multi-touch events via network we decided to implement an input source for it. The input source is based on the MacOS client software provided on the TUIO homepage. It transfers all received TUIO 2D touch events to traces. In doing so the MTK does support any hardware that is able to send TUIO elements to the MTK.

While the protocol has built-in tangible support, the MTK does not support these. The MTK is designed to work with capacitive multi-touch surfaces, but TUIO was originally created to work with light-based sensing techniques, as for example in reacTIVision. Therefore the parameters received via TUIO protocol are meant for tangibles recognized via light sensing technology. The MTK is targeted to tangibles recognizes via capacitive touch technology, but it might still be interesting for future versions to support tangibles that are sensed with other technologies and sent via TUIO.

**JSON.** The JSON input source can receive JSON objects from a given IP and port. The received data needs to be formatted as expected by the input source and at least contain a position and id per touch. In terms of compatibility this input source is the most important since it is created to work via network with nearly any other hardware.

---

7http://www.tuio.org/?software
8http://reactivation.sourceforge.net
We use this input source for example with our PPI multi-touch surface. We implemented a windows application that collects all data from the PPI driver and sends them as JSON via network to the MTK. The input source reads this data and converts it to MTKTraces. The same procedure could be done for any other hardware.

We introduced two delegate methods in this input source, `didReceiveNewData:` and `didAddNewEntryToTrace:basedOnReceivedData:`. The first one allows to modify any data received by the input source, before it is used to update traces. In case this method is implemented by the delegate, the received data does not need to be formatted in the specified way, except that it needs to be a JSON object. In the call the delegate is then responsible to format the data in the specified format. The second method is called after each trace that was updated. It allows developers to modify the given trace after the input source processed its input data.

**Custom input.** The MTK allows the implementation of new input sources. To create a custom input source one has to subclass MTKInputSource and implement the `updateWithTime:` callback. An initialized object of the new class can then be added at runtime to the input sources in the MTKTable. After this step the input source is part of the call for trace collection in each frame.

We presented several options to connect input hardware to the MTK, but we have some improvements left for future work. Most of the available multi-touch hardware is probably compatible with Windows and therefore can be used to generate Windows touch events. A future version of the MTK could include a client for Windows that collects all Windows touch events and sends it via network to the TUIO or JSON input source. This would ease the connection of new input hardware to the MTK.

We explained traces and input sources, which are responsible for the update of traces. This transformation of input data to updates for traces is the first step of the initialization of the touch processing. We will now continue with...
the other steps.

### 3.2.3 Initialization of Touch Processing

As mentioned earlier, the touch processing of the MTK is split into two parts. The first one is the initialization. It is performed at the beginning of each frame by the active scene. It consists of eight steps which we will discuss in this section. The second part is the processing itself, which is performed by each node in the currently active scene graph after the initialization process. The initialization consists of the following eight steps:

1. Update all MTKTraces
2. Call `preProcess:` of global delegate
3. Update cursors
4. Update tangibles
5. Update global gesture recognizer
6. Distribute traces to SKNodes in scene
7. Call `postProcess:` of global delegate
8. Start recursive scene processing

**Update all MTKTraces.** The first step is the transformation of all input data to traces. Each input source is responsible for updating its traces, depending on the data it received from its input hardware. This transformation step was explained in the last two sections in the description of the classes MTKTrace and MTKInputSource. The resulting array of active traces is then used in all of the following steps. In each of the steps traces can be added, removed or modified.
The global delegate allows to manipulate traces before any processing started.

Global delegate preProcess: call. The second step is the preProcess: call of the global delegate. The global delegate is a delegate developers can set application wide, it is therefore independent from the currently active content. It is called directly after the update of all traces, which allows for manipulation of traces before the actual processing starts. One example would be the transformation of the traces’ positions depending on their input source.

Each trace has one cursor.

Update cursors. The next step is updating the cursor for each of the traces. A cursor is the visualization of a touch point. It indicates the position, size and rotation of active touches. The MTK provides a standard cursor. It is possible to customize the standard cursor and to set individual cursors for each of the traces. In case the input source provided a size or orientation the MTK is able to change the cursors accordingly.

In future versions of the MTK cursors should be more customizable.

The MTK does not allow to add any rules that for example specify that traces from one input source may always have a special cursor. It would be a useful feature for future versions. The MTK is currently able to apply such transformation using the postProcess: call of the global delegate or the scene delegate to make this transformation depending on the currently active scene.

Tangibles always work with all available traces.

Update tangibles. The next step is the processing of tangibles. In the MTK tangibles are part of the active scene. Their processing is part of the initialization of the touch processing since it is explicitly performed by the active scene. How the tangible processing works, which includes the recognition and recovery of all tangibles, will be discussed in Section 3.3. All traces that are used by tangibles will be removed from the set of traces available for the processing of the following steps.

Global gestures are performed on all traces.

Update global gesture recognizer. After the tangibles all global gesture recognizers are updated. Gesture recognizers work on a list of traces and look for certain patterns in
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Figure 3.3: A sample scene (blue background) containing four nodes (differently coloured rectangles) and one trace cursor (circle with cross in it).

their movement. How they exactly work and which are available in the MTK is discussed in Section 3.4. Usually gesture recognizers are attached to a specific node and will perform their analysis on the node’s traces. Global gesture recognizers however are not attached to a specific node. Instead they are processed at this point of the initialization of touch processing to allow application wide gestures. They are processed on all traces that are still available after the update of tangibles.

Trace distribution. The next step in the initialization of the touch processing is the distribution of new traces. In each frame are traces either new or already bound to a node in the scene. Those traces that are new and not used by the update of the tangibles or the global gestures need to be bound to one of the nodes in the scene.

We will use the example scene in Figure 3.4 to illustrate this distribution. In this scene are several rectangular nodes and a trace’s cursor. In SpriteKit it is possible to generate this sample scene in different child parent relations, therefore we illustrated their relation in Figure 3.4. Parents are above their children and nodes further to the left are earlier in the children array of the parent and therefore rendered.
earlier. Therefore the rendering order is Scene, GNode, PNode, RNode, YNode, Cursor.

We now discuss to which node the trace is bound to in which case. The distribution is dependent on the hit test of SpriteKit. We use the function `nodesAtPoint:` of SpriteKit to determine which SKNodes are at the position of the trace.

The result of the function is an array of all SKNodes at the given position. Unfortunately this includes some nodes that we like to ignore in the trace distribution. Which nodes we will exclude will be discussed in the following paragraphs. We illustrated the decision process in Figure 3.5.

The result array includes nodes that are marked as hidden. It might be an irritating fact for the user to be unable to touch a node, because an invisible node is blocking the touch, therefore all hidden nodes are removed. In case a developer explicitly wants an invisible area that can receive traces he may set the color of a node to clear color. In this case it will not get removed from the result array and will receive touches, but is invisible to the user.

We added the property `isTouchable` to SpriteKit’s SKNode using a category. It defines if a node is able to receive and process traces. SpriteKit does not know about this.
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Figure 3.5: The decisions made for each node, to find the one that receives a new trace. The node which is still included and has the highest absolute zPosition will be chosen.

extension and will include untouchable nodes in the result of nodesAtPoint, therefore we also remove untouchable nodes.

Additionally the nodes are not ordered as they are visible to the user, but in their appearance of the scene structure. Therefore we reorder the nodes to fit the order in which the user will see visible nodes.

After the transformation of the result array is the first node in the array the node that will receive the trace. In our scenario this could be one of four nodes (RNode, YNode, PNode, Scene), which one it is depends on their type and setting. The GNode will never be in the returned array of nodesAtPoint, because the trace's position is not in the area of the GNode, its bounding box or its accumulated frame. Therefore it can not receive the trace.

If YNode is touchable it will get the trace, independent from any other node being touchable or not. This is due to the fact that YNode is the top node and the trace is in its visible area.

We order the received node in the reverse draw order.

GNode will never receive the trace.

YNode is likely to receive the trace.
In the left scene the array returned by \texttt{nodesAtPoint:} called with the trace’s position will return RNode. In the right scene, where RNode is a SKShapeNode instead of SKSpriteNode, it will not be included in the result array.

The scene may also receive the trace. In case YNode is not touchable it depends on the settings and types of the other nodes. If the RNode is also not touchable but PNode is, PNode will get the touch. Again because the trace is in PNodes visible area. If neither YNode, RNode nor PNode is touchable the trace is captured by the scene.

The one case that is special and causes some inconsistencies is the following: If YNode is not touchable, but RNode is. Apple’s documentation of \texttt{nodesAtPoint:} states the following as return value: “An array of all \texttt{SKNode} objects in the subtree that intersect the point. If no nodes intersect the point, an empty array is returned.”. The discussion then clarifies this with the following sentence: “A point is considered to be in a node if it lies inside the rectangle returned by the \texttt{calculateAccumulatedFrame:} method.”. If we now follow the description of \texttt{calculateAccumulatedFrame:} we get the following statement by the documentation: “Calculates a rectangle in the parent’s coordinate system that contains the content of the node and all of its descendants.” [Apple Inc.].

We found one case in which the SpriteKit does not seem to work as documented. While it should return all nodes which accumulated frames contain the trace’s position, this seems to be untrue for SKShapeNodes. We illustrated two scenes in Figure 3.6 where in both cases the calculated accumulated frame of RNode should contain the trace’s position and therefore in both cases return the RNode. Unfor-
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Unfortunately is this not the case. If RNode is a SKSpriteNode, seen in the left sample scene, then the result array contains RNode, which is the behavior described in the documentation. But if the RNode is a SKShapeNode, seen in the right scene, then it will not be contained in the result array. The trace will then be bound to the scene node.

In the current version of the MTK is the inconsistency still present, due to the fact that we assumed that the `nodesAtPoint:` is an performance optimized function. A custom hit test may have a huge performance impact and as long as developers are aware of the inconsistency can they avoid any problems. It is also possible that future versions of SpriteKit may fix this problem.

A custom `nodesAtPoint:` implementation may fix the problem.

**Call global delegates `postProcess:`**. The final step in the initialization of the touch processing is the call to the global delegate. As the `preProcess:` call, that allowed to manipulate for example traces before they are used for cursors, tangibles, global gestures, and the distribution in the scene, the `postProcess:` call is to manipulate any of these changes made. At this point the delegate may evaluate any expected results or change them.

The delegate can perform last changes.

All the explained steps are performed at the beginning of each frame. They are initialized by the currently active scene. After the initialization of touch processing will the actual processing start, which is a function call of the active scene, which will be recursively called on each of its children and their children. This call is discussed in the next section.

The active scene starts all initialization steps of the touch processing.

3.2.4 Recursive Touch Processing

Immediately after the initialization of the touch processing the active scene will continue with the recursive processing call. The processing is performed by each node in the scene graph, by executing the function `processTraceSetWithTimestamp:` which will return a set of traces. We implemented this call in a category on SKNode to achieve this processing.

Each node in the scene will perform the same steps.
on each node in any given SpriteKit scene. All of the nodes in the scene and the scene itself will perform the following steps in this function call:

1. Call `preProcess:` of delegate
2. Call `preProcess:`
3. Call `processTraceSetWithTimestamp:` of all child nodes
4. Update gesture recognizers
5. Call `postProcess:`
6. Call `postProcess:` of delegate
7. Propagate traces

We will stick with the example scene in Figure 3.3 and the parent-child relation of Figure 3.4 to discuss those steps in more detail. All of the nodes are touchable and not hidden. The active scene will start the whole process by calling its own `processTraceSetWithTimestamp:` method after the initialization process.

The delegate allows to make any changes before the processing of the node starts.

**Call `preProcess:` of delegate.** Each node in the scene can have a trace delegate. This delegate is called at the beginning and the ending of the processing. As in the initialization of the touch processing the delegate has the chance to manipulate the node or any bounded trace, to influence the processing.

The `preProcess:` method is abstract in SKNode.

**Call `preProcess:` of node.** The next step is the call of `preProcess:` in the node itself. The method is abstract in SKNode and can be overwritten by any subclass. Any processing is possible in the implementation of the method. This distribution throughout the scene is a paradigm change to SpriteKit. In SpriteKit the scene will get an update call, in which the scene will update anything in it as some kind of controller. Nodes in the scene will not get an update call in SpriteKit. This pre- and later the postProcess call change this by giving each node two update calls per frame.
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Figure 3.7: The call chain for the processing the the sample scene.

Call *processTraceSetWithTimestamp:* of all child nodes. At this point of the *processTraceSetWithTimestamp:* call of the active scene will the scene call the *processTraceSetWithTimestamp:* method of all its children. The children will then perform the steps we discussed so far and also recursively call its children, as illustrated in Figure 3.7.

Update gesture recognizers. At this step in the processing all traces that could possibly reach the node are collected. All children had the chance to propagate touches. The next step is to update the gesture recognizers. Each node can have a set of gesture recognizers. Which recognizers exist in the MTK and how they work is discussed in Section 3.4. Additionally, each node can have a set of gesture recognizers for standard transformations like rotation, translation, or scaling. These, like normal gesture recognizers, work on the nodes traces and are updated in this step, too. Which of those gesture recognizers are enabled can be set in the property *transformationConstraints* of the node.

Call *postProcess:* of node. After these steps the *postProcess:* of the node is called. Similar to the *preProcess:* it is an

The update of the gestures may or may not consume touches.

After the own

*preProcess:* all children will start their processing.

The postProcess:
call is similar to the

*preProcess:* call.
abstract method and can be used by subclasses to implement any custom behaviors. In contrast to the `preProcess:` call, all children already completed their processing here. It may also be that the set of active traces for the `postProcess:` includes more or other traces than in the `preProcess:`, since the children could have propagated traces to their parents.

The delegate can do final changes.

**Call `postProcess:` of delegate.** Now all steps of the touch processing are performed and the trace delegate of the node has the chance to do any changes to adjust the results.

Each node can propagate traces to its parent.

**Propagate traces.** As mentioned earlier, nodes are able to propagate touches to their parents. In this case the traces are still bound to the node, but they are given to the parent for this processing call so it might use it for processing. Usually all unused traces are propagated to the parent node, but each node can decide individually for each trace.

After the touch processing the normal SpriteKit frame loop in Figure 3.1 on page 15 will continue. No future calls are explicitly used by the MTK for standardized behaviors or procedures and can be used by developers without interference of the MTK. We will now continue by explaining the touch processing, tangible detection and gesture recognizer, which were previously left out.
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The following explanation of tangibles, especially of PUCs and PERCs, is a summary of information found in [Voelker et al., 2013] and [Voelker et al., 2015].

Tangibles are physical objects that can be detected by touch surfaces. One big advantage of tangibles is their rich haptic feedback in comparison to touch surfaces. Applications can not take advantage of the human touch senses, which would be helpful for example in eyes free interaction, because the user always only feels the screen. In contrast tangibles can have many different forms and materials, providing the user with rich haptic experience [Voelker et al., 2013].

PUCs were the first tangibles that could be detected on an unmodified commercial capacitive touch surface. Earlier tangibles were detected using light based touch sensing technologies [Kaltenbrunner and Bencina, 2007], modified capacitive touch surfaces [Liang et al., 2014] or relied on the user's touch [Rekimoto, 2002].

In tangible detection the problem with commercially available touch sensing hardware is often that it is specialized to sense human fingers. Any other input like tangibles is filtered out. One approach to deal with this is to have the contact areas of the tangibles imitate the human touch.

Simply shaping the contact areas like touches will not force touch surfaces to recognize touches or tangibles. As described in [Voelker et al., 2013] capacitive touch surfaces recognize touches by using transparent electrodes located above the display panel. If the impact of the tangible’s contact areas on the electromagnet field emitted by these electrodes is not bigger than the thresholds implemented by the hardware’s filter mechanics, they will not be recognized as touches. Unfortunately tangibles have typically no connection to ground and not enough mass to have an impact on the detection field. Therefore [Voelker et al., 2013] introduced PUCs, which use a trick to overcome this filter mechanics.

Tangibles improve touch interaction.

Tangible recognition is already implemented.

Capacitive touch tables will not recognize normal tangibles.

PUC and PERC can be detected without a user touching them.
3.3.1 PUCs: Passive Untouched Capacitive Widgets

One type of tangibles supported by the MTK and working on unmodified capacitive touch surfaces are PUCs by Voelker et al. [2013]. One typical PUC is shown in Figure 3.8. PUCs are made of conductive material that contacts with the multi-touch surface at different positions. The conductive areas touching the surface, we will call them *marker*, are in a specific size that is similar to the touch of a human finger. The markers are connected to each other and arranged in a special pattern. The pattern will trick the multi-touch surface to recognize touches without needing a user to touch the tangible. As mentioned earlier capacitive multi-touch surfaces have a grid of electrodes to detect touch input. In the scanning process, there is only one electrode active at a time, the others are grounded. The pattern of the tangible ensures that in most cases only one of the markers is on an active electrode and the others are on grounded ones. Therefore the marker on the active electrode is grounded by the other two and will be recognized as a touch.
However some problems remain when using PUCs. The first one is that if the tangible stays long enough in one place the filter mechanics in most recognition hardware will remove the generated touches after around 5-30 seconds [Voelker et al., 2015]. This causes the problem that the software can not be sure if the tangible was lifted off the table or if it is still in place, but the touches were filtered. The second problem is the identification of the tangibles. The pattern for PUCs that will generate the most reliable touches is a circular marker pattern. Other possibilities exist, but are not that numerous, especially if one tries to distinguish different tangibles from each other. The only characteristic property of a PUC marker pattern is the distance between the different markers. To distinguish the tangibles the differences must be big enough to be discernable from small errors that occur when reading marker positions, which makes the identification of different tangibles very hard [Voelker et al., 2015]. It is also important that one of the distances between the markers is significantly different to the others to determine the rotation of the tangible. If all distances are not distinguishable then the rotation of the PUC at placement time is arbitrary.

PUCs and PERCs have to have at least three markers, but could also have more. Three is the minimum amount required to reliably track the position and rotation. They could have more than three markers, but it does not add more functionality. In the MTK we decided to fix the number of markers to be three.

**Definition**

PUCs basically consist of three conductive markers arranged in a fixed pattern. Their distances and relative angles to each other are constant, except for possible flickering of the touches generated by the hardware. The tangible creation scene, see Section 3.6.3 can be used to create the description for a PUC. The tangible has to generate three touches. The MTK will then read all distances and angles of the touch to each other and save them. This data is required to identify a tangible in the recognition process.

PUCs had some issues that were addressed by PERCs.

In the MTK PUCs and PERCs have three markers.

PUCs need three touches to be described.
The number of PUCs the MTK can distinguish is limited, since the distances between the markers need to be different enough to identify a PUC. The minimum distance between each marker depends on the hardware. Markers that are too close to each other may interfere with each other by not generating touches, merging to one touch or generate heavily flickering touches. The maximum distance between the markers is limited by the maximum size of the touch surface. Often surfaces are very small, like an iPhone or iPad. Therefore placing more than one tangible at a time already reduces the maximum distance drastically.

Detection

We now explain step by step how the MTK will recognize PUCs. There are several special cases and problems we tackled in the implementation, which will be explained later on. We will now explain the optimal case.

We first have a look at the two recognition states a PUC can have: Recognized and NotRecognized. A PUC changes to the Recognized state if three traces where found that match the tangible’s description. It will stay in this state until all of the traces are lost again. As long as two traces are active it will update position and rotation. With only one trace the rotation and position changes can not be calculated correctly and are therefore ignored. Is the tangible’s state Recognized its digital representative is visible, else it is hidden. A PUC is in state NotRecognized if it has no active trace left. At the beginning of the recognition process all PUCs are in the state NotRecognized. The MTK will scan all available traces to find a triple of traces that fits the distances and angles saved in the tangible’s description. If a matching triple is found its traces will be set as the active traces of the PUC and the state will be changed to Recognized.

Recovery

After the recognition of the tangible its recovery functionality is used to improve its recognition. Our observations
showed that touches generated by markers are not as consistent as those of a human finger. Touches may disappear and then immediately or after a while reappear. This flickering is handled by the MTK. The MTK will immediately try to use new traces to recover a tangible in case one or two of its traces end. It will use the remaining moving traces of the tangible in combination with new ones to form triples. If a triple fits it will replace the ended traces with the new ones.

This process is faster than a new recognition of the tangible, since the MTK still knows at least an approximate position and rotation of the tangible and can filter the possible traces to those that are near to the tangible’s last position. If only one trace is active then the tangible is not updating the position and rotation. Just searching for new triples around the last position of the tangible may cause problems, if the tangible still moves, but does not generate touches. This case is not a common case, since moving PUCs should generate touches [Voelker et al., 2013]. But to avoid problems in such situations the last trace is removed from the tangible if it continues moving away from the tangible’s old position. In case no more trace of the tangible is active it is returned to the NotRecognized state and starts searching for traces in the whole scene.

It is possible that PUCs use traces that are not really part of the tangible, but were wrongly assigned. For example three finger touches that by accident matched the tangible’s description. In these cases the tangible has the chance to detect this using the deformation check.

In each frame the tangible checks if the active traces still match the tangible’s description. If this is not the case the PUC assumes that one or more of its traces are not correctly assigned. If the tangible has only one trace left the deformation check can not check any distances. The tangible can react in two different ways, in case two traces are remaining and a deformation is detected. Both traces are removed from the tangible, if they are both moving. In case only one is moving, the stationary one is removed. This is due to the assumption that this one is probably a Ghost Touch. For more information have a look in Section 3.3.1.
Special Cases

The described recognition and recovery features may work reliable in most cases, but has still some issues that could not be solved by the software using the given hardware or that interfere with the normal processes. We will now discuss these cases.

Filtering of stationary touches. In case the user does not touch or move the tangible for a longer period of time the touches beneath it will be filtered by most of the available hardware after around 5-30 seconds [Voelker et al., 2015]. The MTK can in this case not distinguish between a tangible that was lifted off the table and one which touches were filtered, but remained on the table. PUCs therefore just return to their NotRecognized state, which will cause the tangible to be invisible again.

Too few traces at placement. Depending on the layout of the hardware scanlines and the size of the tangible, it is possible that not all three marker will generate touches when placed on the touch surface (see Figure 3.9) [Voelker et al., 2013, 2015]. Unfortunately this problem of PUCs is not solvable by the software. A PUC can not be recognized before not all of the three traces are active at the same time. Moving or touching the tangible will in most cases cause all three markers to generate touches, therefore this case is rare.

Ghost Touches. The input hardware we used did sometimes wrongly detect touches. Moving touches generated from tangible markers stopped moving and remained for several seconds before disappearing. We are not sure how and why this is happening. The hardware will still send updates and therefore we did not find a way to distinguish those touches from normal ones. We called those touches Ghost Touches. But since this case is very rare we expected that it is more likely that the tangible has just one trace left while being stationary. Additionally we could not observe
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Figure 3.9: This is the original illustration of the scanline problem by Voelker et al. [2015]. In (1) B and C will generate touches, but A will not. In (2) all markers will generate touches.

A situation in which it happened with more than one trace at a time. We assume that this phenomenon is caused due to some problems in the filter mechanics of the hardware.

It is possible that such a Ghost Touch is used by a tangible. In this case the tangible has the chance to detect this using the deformation check. If the tangible is moved the Ghost Touch will remain at its position and the distances between other traces and the trace of the Ghost Touch will not be correct any longer. In this case the wrong trace can be identified as the stationary one and it will be freed from use with the tangible.

One case the deformation check can not resolve is if the last trace of a tangible uses a Ghost Touch. While PERCs have the chance to check if the tangible is still at the expected position, PUCs do not have this opportunity. Luckily the trace will disappear after a while. We could just free the last trace and hide the tangible. But since this case is very rare we expected that it is more likely that the tangible has just one trace left while being stationary.

More than one option. Another big problem of PUCs is that the software can not decide which set of traces it

More than one trace triple forces the MTK to guess.
should use if more than one option is available, as seen in Figure 3.10. The MTK is forced to guess. A wrongly used triple can be detected by the deformation check, if touches change their relation position to each other. Nevertheless is this a mayor flaw, since this causes the problem that some randomly placed fingers can be recognized as tangible, which is annoying for users. The frequency of this problem increases with the amount of patterns saved as tangibles.

We gathered several ideas to improve the recognition process in such a case. Currently all traces are handled equally and independent from the input source. This could lead to the fact that a tangible consists of traces that came from more than one input source. We can think of specific tangibles where this could be possible, but in our current setup and use of tangibles it is not possible. A tangible is only placed on one input source, all used traces should therefore be from the same hardware. By filtering this it could reduce the number of possible traces fitting for a tangible.

One of the most promising solutions is probably the adjustment of thresholds. How far each of the traces jitters heavily depends on the input hardware and tangible. The MTK could use filter and learning algorithms to dynamically adjust the thresholds for each tangible and input source. In doing so the number of fitting possibilities for each tangible could be reduced.

Additionally an analysis of the previous positions of each trace could filter unfitting traces. Tangibles are static
in their form, therefore the distances of their generated touches are constant, except for some minor flickering. A
triple that is used for tangible detection should in all previous frames also fit the tangible’s description. In the current
recognition process only the position in the current frame is considered. If for example a user moves around with three
or more fingers it may happen that they will fit a tangible’s description in some frames. The current implementation
will then assign a tangible to these touches. Future versions could avoid this by analyzing their previous positions.

The current MTK version does not consider such an analysis due to time restrictions. For such a method it is impor-
tant to have a reliable algorithm that takes into account that it is possible that the distances of the traces change if a user
touches a tangible and that their distances may be different when moving. Therefore it would be important to use
dynamical thresholds in combination with this technique. Due to the fact that most of the problem can be solved using
PERCs we did not investigate in this direction. It is still an important improvement since the reliability of the PERCs
detection could also be improved using such techniques.

The second kind of tangibles recognized by the MTK are PERCs. PERCs solve several of the listed problems. We
will now explain PERCs and how the MTK will recognize them.

### 3.3.2 PERCs: Persistently Trackable Tangibles on Capacitive Multi-Touch Displays

PERCs are an improvement of PUCs introduced by Voelker et al. [2015]. PERCs consist of a marker set similar to
PUCs, but have additional active hardware. The version presented by Voelker et al. [2015] can be seen in Figure 3.11.

The recognition of PUCs is done only via touches generated by the tangible’s markers. PERCs extend this by using
the new hardware to actively send information about their state to the MTK. The bluetooth chip will connect with the
computer and send every update of the surface and light
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Figure 3.11: The original PERC illustration by Voelker et al. [2015], showing the six main components: (1) marker pattern, (2) field sensor, (3) light sensor, (4) micro controller, (5) Bluetooth element, and (6) lead plate.

sensor. The surface sensor can detect whether the tangible is on the surface. It searches for the signal a capacitive touch surface emits when scanning for touches. It will send the state OnSurface in case the sensor receives the signal and OffSurface else. The light sensor is measuring the brightness beneath the tangible. Its state can either be White, if the brightness is high enough, or Black in all other cases.

With these new components the MTK is able to recognize five different states of PERCs, as seen in Figure 3.12. This solves some problems that occurred when detecting PUCs. The first one is the distinction between a lifted and a filtered tangible. If the tangible’s touches were filtered the surface sensor will still sense the signal emitted by the multi-touch surface. The second one is the identification of different tangibles. Every Bluetooth chip has its own unique iden-
3.3 Tangibles

The description of PERCs is very similar to PUCs, since PERCs are basically PUCs with extra hardware. They need to save all information that were already saved for PUCs. Additionally they require a bluetooth identifier of the tangible’s bluetooth chip which is required for the bluetooth communication and an offset from the tangibles position that defines its light sensor location.

With this information the MTK is able to recognize the tangible. The PERC’s recognition process is based on the process used for PUCs, but is much more complex, since the number of states and possibilities is extended. We will start with one of the new recognition feature of PERCs, the light sensor check. Afterwards we present the actual detection process.

Light Sensor Check

Since the light sensor request is an important step in the recognition of PERCs, we first explain how it works and which problems can arise before explaining the recognition process. As already mentioned the light sensor does know only two states, White or Black, which represent if the area

Figure 3.12: All states in which PERCs can be.

tifier, the MTK is therefore always certain which tangible is placed onto the surface. A distinction via the distances between markers like it is done in PUCs is not necessary.

We will now discuss the recognition and recovery process in more detail, to better understand how exactly PERCs work.
The light sensor check works in the following way. The MTK will read the last sent light sensor value of the PERC. Based on this information it determines the color, that needs to be shown beneath the light sensor to change its state. The MTK will place a shape with this color beneath the position where it expects the light sensor to be. This expected position is determined using a triple of traces or a position and rotation, plus the light sensor offset saved for each PERC. The MTK is sure that the tangible is at the calculated position, if the light sensor of the tangible sends a color change of its light sensor.

While PUCs could only be recognized if all three traces are active at the same time, it is possible to recognize PERCs with two traces. With two traces and the tangible’s description the options for the light sensor’s position are limited. The software can start a light sensor request for each of the positions and determine the correct one.

The number of possible light sensor positions increases if the distances between the tangible’s marker are not distinguishable. Our standard tangible, seen in Figure 3.11, for example has markers forming a right triangle with two similar legs and one hypothenuse. Two touches that appear and fit the tangible’s description then have one of two distances. If the distance between the two touches is similar to the length of the tangible’s hypothenuse, two possible positions for the last touch exist, as illustrated in Figure 3.13.
Figure 3.14: Illustration of the problem arising if only the leg of the triangle pattern is recognized. Four possible positions for the third one. Some are not beneath the tangible.

Is the distance between the two traces equal to a leg, the number of options increases to four, as illustrated in Figure 3.14. Checking one option after the other is still possible, but will cause a delay. The color change of the light sensor in most cases is received only a few frames after the change, as long as the light sensor check is testing the correct position. Is the position incorrect the check will last for a much longer period of time to ensure that it is the incorrect position and not a delayed response. Therefore, the MTK does only use the light sensor to recognize a tangible with two traces if they are the hypothenuse.

As already mentioned, the MTK was designed to work especially well with the standard PERCs, therefore it would be advantageous to only test the hypothenuse because the user may not see the light sensor shape. If the two touches are the hypothenuse we can check both positions without the threat that the user may notice this process, since all possible positions are below the tangible’s area, as seen in Figure 3.13. If the possibilities increase to four, as seen in Figure 3.14 some of the tested areas are outside of the tangible and may irritate the user.

If the MTK is used with non standard PERCs this process is flawed. Using the hypothenuse does then not guarantee that the light sensor shape is still covered by the tangible. Additionally it is then possible that the calculated hypothenuse is not unique, since the pattern does not have to be a right triangle. In future versions the MTK could adapt to the situation that the saved pattern is different from the standard PERC and change its behavior.
Unaffected of the size and shape of the tangible the light sensor has the problem that it cannot check moving tangibles. The recognition of traces by the hardware, receiving the data via network and showing the shape takes some time. If a triple of traces is moving and needs to be checked the shape that is used for checking will not be beneath the light sensor. Therefore the result may be corrupted. It is possible to use prediction algorithms to guess where the position will be to allow a light sensor check in these cases, but the current version of the MTK does not have such strategies. The recognition process implemented in the MTK avoids the test of any moving trace triple.

Another problem when using the light sensor is that if the light sensor is checking at an incorrect position, it is possible that the scene beneath the actual light sensor position changes and causes the light sensor to send a state change. Therefore the check will confirm the wrong position and the MTK will recognize the tangible with the wrong touches. To avoid this situation all other possible positions also show a shape, but in the color the light sensor currently recognizes, which then will not cause a change.

A problem that is caused by the hardware of PERC itself is the low reliability of the light sensor. Due to the experimental hardware a light sensor request is not guaranteed to give the correct results. Sometimes it happens that the light sensor state is changed due to light condition changes around the setup. It is also possible that the light sensor did not change its state, because the screen was not bright enough to trigger a change. These problems cannot be addressed by the MTK, but can be resolved by improving the hardware of PERCs. The result of these issues is that the MTK does not rely on the light sensor results. Some checks are done more often, for example are triples tested regularly if no other option is available.

Detection

We now explain step by step how the MTK is able to recognize PERCs. The first step of the PERC’s recognition pro-
cess is that the MTK connects to the bluetooth chip of the tangible. The state of the tangible changes after this process from its initial NotInRange to the InRange state.

When the tangible is placed on a touch surface the tangible’s surface sensor detects this and will send this information via the bluetooth module to the MTK. The MTK receives the information and changes the tangible’s state to OnSurface. The process described so far is exclusive to PUCs, PUCs are oblivious to any of these state changes.

Voelker et al. [2015] reported that the tangible’s touches are recognized in 99% of the cases within a time window of 150 ms around the bluetooth signal. The MTK therefore prefers traces from within this time interval. This interval is hardware dependent and future versions of the MTK should allow to set this for each hardware. In the current implementation a time window of 200ms is set.

The MTK will start scanning for the tangibles after it received the OnSurface signal. So the first thing the MTK does is to search for all traces that began 100ms before the signal and start searching for triples that fit the tangibles description. If any of the triples do fit the description it will use them to recognize the tangible. As discussed in PUCs this can cause problems if more than one triple fits. Therefore the tangible that is recognized via such a guess will be saved until 100 ms after the OnSurface signal was received.

The tangible stays recognized with the given traces, if not more than one triple is available after 100ms and none of the used traces conflict with any other PERC that needs to be recognized. We illustrated a rough decision tree that is done for each tangible in each frame in Figure 3.15.

This guessing of a triple is fast, but not guaranteed to be correct. It is for example possible that the tangible generates less than three traces at placement and the used triple does not consist of traces belonging to the tangible. Voelker et al. [2015] reported that this is caused due to the alignment of the scanlines, as we explained in Section 3.3.1. In the used hardware it appeared in four angles. One may notice that the reported issues are in the worst case situation for PERCs, where no human is touching the tangibles and...
they are not moved at all. Since it is very likely that the triple is the placed tangible, we decided to guess instead of verifying the triple with a light sensor check. In doing so we improve the detection speed and allow the detection of a single moving tangible, but reduce the reliability.

The tangible will use the light sensor to identify which of the triples to use if at the end of the time window more than one triple is available. At the end of the window the MTK will save all triples that are available and test each possible position. If a triple is moving the tangible detection will wait for it to be stationary before testing it. If a stationary triple is available it will be checked. Is the check positive the tangible is detected with this triple, if not it will be removed from the set of remaining possible triples. If only one possibility is left, the MTK will use the leftover triple to recognize the tangible without a light sensor check. It is very likely that the last option is the tangible. Therefore we use the triple without additional verification, which allows the recognition of the tangible, even if it is still moving.

In each frame the MTK checks if the triples still fit the tangible’s description and if the traces are still active to maintain a limited set of correct possible triples for the tangible. A triple is removed from the set, if it does not fit the description any longer. In case some of the triple’s traces end successors are searched to replace them. The triple will be removed if no successor is found. At this the number of possible options will be reduced to find the correct one faster.

In two situations this implementation could wrongly detected a tangible. The first one is the same as in PUCs. In rare cases it is possible that none of the checked triples is the correct one, since the tangible only generated one or two traces. As already explained, we assume that this case is rare so we allow the MTK the guess.

The other problem is that the light sensor can not control moving triples. To be faster and to allow the recognition of a moving triple we continue the evaluation of triples that are stationary while others are moving. It is possible that, while one of the stationary triples is checked, the light sen-
sor beneath the moving triple will sent a state change. In this case the checked stationary triple instead of the moving one will be used for recognition, instead of the moving one. We could wait until all triples stopped moving, but this would cause the whole process to be delayed for an unknown amount of time. The currently implemented solution is our trade off between fast and responsive detection on one hand and the number of possible wrongly detected tangibles on the other. A future MTK version in combination with improved PERC hardware may be able to create a better solution.

A tangible reaches the last recognition step in case the MTK could not find a fitting trace triple in the time window, which did not conflict with other tangibles. In this phase the MTK searches for fitting trace triples and tuples. As already mentioned, a PERC can also be detected using only two traces. The MTK will check each of the possibilities with the light sensor as described before. The difference is that the MTK will only recognize the tangible if a set of traces was confirmed by the light sensor, not if only one is left. In this state the guessing is not allowed anymore, since the chances to use a wrong set are increased.

This behavior tries to ensure that tangibles in this phase will only be detected if the process is sure that the traces can be associated with the tangible. It is important to note that the correctness is still not guaranteed. As already explained in the light sensor description it is not reliable enough to be completely sure that the confirmed triple is correct.

Does the user at any time in the process lift the tangible off the table the surface sensor will stop measuring the signal of the multi-touch surface and send this information to the MTK. The MTK knows that the tangible left the table and changes its state to InRange. The recognition process is stopped and any already guessed traces are removed from the tangible.
Recovery

The recovery process of PERCs is mainly the same as in PUCs. If one or two traces are lost PERCs will use the same functionality as PUCs to recover the lost traces. The difference is that if all of the tangible’s traces end, PERCs are able to know that they are still on the multi-touch surface, due to their surface sensor. Thereby the MTK can filter possible trace triples for those that consist of traces that are near the tangibles last position.

The MTK will use the light sensor to perform a stationary check to ensure that the tangible is not moved from its last known position. This check is a light sensor check using the last known position and rotation of the tangible. If the tangible is still in the same place it will respond and the tangible will be sure that the position is still correct, else the MTK will change the tangible’s state back to OnSurface. This will hide the tangible and allow the recognition process to search for traces in the whole scene.

The unreliable light sensor causes us to check several times. As already discussed, the light sensor is not fully reliable. The MTK changes the tangibles state after three failed stationary checks to reduce the cases in which a tangible is wrongly hidden again.

Special Cases

Many of the special cases and remaining problems are already mentioned in the recognition process, which was designed to tackle those. Therefore they are not listed again in this section.

Too few traces at placement. It is possible that PERCs generate only one touch. The MTK has no chance to recognize the tangible if only one trace is generated by the tangible, but Voelker et al. [2015] reported that this happened in less than 3.2% of the cases, as long as the tangible is not touched by the user or moved.

PERCs have mostly the same recovery process as PUCs.
3.3 Tangibles

**Ghost Touches.** The problem of using Ghost Touches to recognize or recover tangibles is still present, but PERCs, similar to PUCs, use the deformation check to recover from this situation. In the case that the last remaining trace is a Ghost Touch, where PUCs could not identify the difference, PERCs use their surface sensor and stationary check to make sure that the tangible is still in place.

3.3.3 Tangible Simulator

While developing a tangible application one may not always have a working touch surface and tangible at hand, which is why we created a tangible simulator. The simulator is based on the mouse input source described in Section 3.2.2. By emulating touch points and bluetooth signals the tangible will go through the normal process of tangible recognition.

The tangible simulator can be controlled with the following commands. By pressing, `Command + T`, the scene gets an overlay showing all available tangibles. Pressing `Number Key + Command` selects the available tangible with the pressed number. `0 + Command` selects a new tangible, which will be created and placed in the scene. Pressing `Command + Left Mouse Button` will create an OnSurface signal and create three traces that fit the tangible’s description. Hereby does the MTK recognize the tangible at the clicked position. If the user presses `Command + Left Mouse Button` on an already existing tangible he is able to drag the tangible. Again not the actual tangible is modified, but the traces used to recognize the tangible. Pressing `Option + Left Mouse Button` on an existing tangible allows to rotate the tangible. `Right Mouse Button + Command` on an existing tangible will remove the traces of the tangible and send an OffSurface bluetooth signal, which will result in a removal of the tangible.
Figure 3.15: A decision tree roughly illustrating the MTK’s decision for each tangible in each frame. Moving to limited means only using the triples of the time window, moving to light sensor uses all available triples and tuples that fit the description.
3.4 Gestures

Gestures are a common way to enrich the user’s interaction possibilities with multi-touch surfaces and [Kammer et al., 2010] mentioned them as an important part of multi-touch frameworks. Therefore we decided to include gesture recognizer into the MTK. In the MTK gesture recognizers work on a list of traces and look for certain patterns in their movement. Except for global gesture recognizers they are attached to a specific node and will perform their analysis on the node’s traces.

The focus of this thesis was to implement the MTK’s hardware independence and tangible detection. We therefore did not investigate in finding the perfect realization of gestures, but implemented a basic set which is similar to the set provided by Apple in iOS. This is used to reinforce the familiarity of Apple developers with the MTK. Additionally we added some custom made recognizers and possibilities for developers to create new ones.

3.4.1 Standard Gestures

Based on the Apple gesture recognizer we implemented press, release, tap, hold, swipe, pan, rotate and pinch gesture recognizer. Additionally we implemented MoveIn and MoveOut.

All gesture recognizer are subclasses of MTKGestureRecognizer and implement the function `processTraces:forNode:withTimestamp:`. This method gets a set of MTKTraces as input, processes them and sets a new state for the gesture recognizer. It is also possible that the recognizer uses all traces of the scene, which is done in the implementation of MoveIn and MoveOut. When changing states all subclasses have to follow specific state changes to ensure the correct behavior of the MTK. Which possible state changes exist depends on the type of gesture. We classified our gestures in two different sets like Apple did. We differentiate between discrete gestures, for example a
Figure 3.16: State graph for discrete gesture recognizer. This is very similar to the states Apple’s gesture recognizer can have.

Discrete gestures have three different states, as seen in Figure 3.16. The first state is the Possible state. This is the normal state in which the gesture is neither recognized nor failed. The other two states are Failed and Recognized. A gesture changes to Recognized if some of the given traces fit the gesture. For example a single release gesture checks if a MTKTrace exists that has the state End. A gesture is Failed if the given set of traces may not fit the recognizing process and it is not possible with these traces to recognize the gesture. For example the recognition of a right swipe is Failed if the set of traces include only one trace which is moving from right to left.

Continuous gesture recognizer have six states.

The second set is the continuous gesture recognizer. These recognizers have six different states, as seen in Figure 3.17. The states Possible and Failed are similar to discrete recognizer. If the continuous recognizer identifies that the given traces form the beginning of the gesture the state is set to Began. This is for example the case if a pan gesture recognizer received a trace which moved a minimum distance. After the gesture recognizer state changed to Began, it will send updates with the state Changed for each frame as long as the gesture is not canceled or recognized. From this state the recognizer may change to Recognized orCanceled. The Recognized state represents a correctly ended gesture. Can-
Figure 3.17: States of continuous gesture recognizer. The states are very similar to those of Apple’s gesture recognizer.

Canceled is the opposite state, showing that the gesture did not behave as expected and thereby rendering all previous Began and Changed states to invalid. In case of a pan gesture recognizer that only recognizes a right pan, it is possible that the recognizer changes to Began and Changed after a trace moved some distance to the right, but when the trace changes its direction back to the left the gesture is not pan anymore and the recognizer will change to Canceled.

Kammer et al. [2010] mentioned that it is important for frameworks to provide information about touches to ease the creation of gesture recognizers. Our MTKTrace implementation provided us with exactly those required information. Gestures get all bounded traces of the node they are bound to and can access all previous states of these traces directly via the given MTKTrace object. This way we had no problems with missing information for recognizers.

Additionally, the recognizer can access other traces via the MTKTable and the current scene. That was for example used in the implementation of the MoveIn and MoveOut gesture recognizers which check if a trace exists that moved in or out of the nodes area. Such traces are not bound to the node and will therefore not reach the recognizer within the normal processing, but can be accessed via MTKTable.
Different options exist in the MTK to create new gestures. A developer using the MTK has different options to get the recognizers he wants to, action blocks, recognizer blocks, delegate and implementing a new gesture recognizer.

3.4.2 Custom Gesture Recognizer.

Several action blocks can be added for each state. Action blocks. The first option to customize a recognizer are action blocks. These can be added to a gesture recognizer for each state. In these action blocks the developer may do whatever he desires. We used it for example in combination with the pan gesture recognizer to create a Drag recognizer. An action block is added to the Changed state of the pan gesture recognizer, in which the position of the attached node is modified. If the user touches a node that has a Drag recognizer attached and moves his finger, the pan recognizer will change its state to Began and each following frame will send the state Changed. In each Changed state update the action block is triggered and updates the position of the node accordingly to the traces position.

Recognizer blocks can change the conditions for the Recognized state. Recognizer blocks. Recognizer blocks are performed if the gesture recognizer will change its state to Recognized. Each block returns a boolean value. If all of these are True, the recognizer will change its state to Recognized, otherwise it will stay in its current state. This is for example used in the gesture recognizer released in node, which is a combination of the release recognizer and the a recognizer block. Normally a release recognizer will change its state to Recognized if a trace ended. The release in node recognizer will perform the added recognizer block before the change. The block checks if the trace’s last position was in the node, if not the recognizer will not change its state.

A delegate is an alternative to block. Delegate. The MTK allows to use a delegate instead of blocks. The delegate is called after each state change and
may alter whatever it likes while the recognizer is working normally. Like this more complex changes can be made.

**Subclass MTKGestureRecognizer.** The last option to implement a gesture recognizer is by implementing a new subclass. To do this a developer has to subclass MTKGestureRecognizer and implement `processTraces:forNode:withTimestamp:`. As already mentioned, the states should be changed like we discussed.

These subclass objects are handled as any other gesture recognizer. By adding them to any node using `addGestureRecognizer:` the processing will automatically call them. The developer should follow the explained state changes, but apart from that nothing more is required to make the MTK work with custom gesture recognizers. Additionally the action block, recognizer block and delegate handling is fully implemented in the MTKGestureRecognizer class and is therefore already available for any new gesture recognizer class.
3.5 Visualization Support

Figure 3.18 by Kammer et al. [2010] shows the diagram of features for multi-touch frameworks. The topmost part in this figure is the visualization support. This includes all possibilities and support the framework offers to create visual output.

**SpriteKit.** Due to the fact that we based the whole MTK on SpriteKit, developers can use any functionality provided by SpriteKit. SpriteKit is designed to enable developers to create rich 2D applications, which includes animations, sparkle effects, physics and more. One sample application can be seen in Figure 3.18 in which SpriteKit and PERCs are used to create the basic gameplay of a Star Wars tabletop game.

SpriteKit can use SceneKit to be extended by 3D elements. Apple designed SpriteKit to easily include SceneKit elements, which are with small adjustments able to process MTKTraces, too.
3.5 Visualization Support

Figure 3.19: This is the MTKPieMenu. It can be set with different numbers of buttons and angles in which the buttons will be placed.

**GUI Elements.** When developing applications with SpriteKit developers are usually able to use Apple’s standard UI elements. Unfortunately these elements work with UITouches or NSEvents which can not be easily generated by us. We could therefore not integrate the use of standard Apple UI elements in the MTK. To partly compensate this lack of UI elements we implemented our own set of controls. The number of elements in the MTK and their functionality is very limited, due to the fact that this was not our main requirement. Future MTK versions should increase the amount of available elements.

The elements we implemented are button, switch button, slider, rotary slider, grid view, list view, scroll view and drawing area. How they work and which properties they offer can be found in the documentation. It is important to note that most of the functionality is implemented in a way that allows developers to modify and customize the GUI elements, even if explicit properties or functions are not offered by the API. All GUI elements are build out of base types of SpriteKit and therefore all elements are part of the scene tree and can be easily accessed.

In future versions this part of the MTK needs improvements. The elements are very basic and sometimes cumbersome to use. One of the first improvements would be to...
add a full digital keyboard and a text box. The possibility to set a style for all GUI elements centrally would also be a nice feature. Another improvement would be a general event system which is standardized for all GUI elements and that may also include gesture events, which do not exist in the MTK so far.
3.6  TouchControlCenter

The MTK offers a variety of options that can be configured, like different output windows, different input sources, tangibles and more. All these settings are saved in a file which is loaded at each start of the MTK. This file may be altered by developers to customize the MTK without the need of source code. To ease the process of setting these options we developed the TouchControlCenter, short TCC. We provide a MacOS and an iOS Version. The TCC has the goal to offer a UI for most of the MTK’s configurations.

It is important to note that the TCC does not cover all possible settings, neither in the MacOS nor the iOS version. We implemented a basic version that helps setting the most common information. For future work an improved and extended version of both the MacOS and the iOS TCC are important.

3.6.1  TCC in MacOS

The MacOS version is a standalone application based on Apple’s UI components. It is divided in three segments: General settings, Application settings and Tangible settings.

General Settings. In the General settings area one can define different profiles. Each profile defines the size of the scene and other settings, like size and visibility of the cursors. Profiles also have a list of Viewports. Each Viewport is defined by two rectangles. The first one is the area in the scene which is visible in the Viewport and the other one is the position and size of the window in which the content of the Viewport is shown. A profile also includes several input sources. Each input source offers a different set of options. This part is not fully implemented yet and will need a much more general approach to work with unknown input sources in the future.
In the application settings one may set the active profile and loaded scenes per application as well as all added tangibles per scene.

**Application Settings.** The Application settings allow to set the active profile, the starting scene and the loaded scenes per application. For each of the loaded scenes it can be defined which tangibles will be available in the scene. The list of tangibles is filled with all tangibles that were defined in the Tangibles settings. The list of applications and available scenes is automatically filled. Every started application on the machine using the MTK as well as any MTKScene class included in the started application is added to the TCC lists.

In the tangible settings tangibles can be defined.

**Tangible Settings.** The tangible settings show all defined tangibles and recognized bluetooth devices. New tangibles can be created using the MTKTangibleCreationScene, which can be opened from the Tangible settings. Read Section 3.6.3 for further information.

3.6.2 TCC in iOS

Many unnecessary settings were removed from the iOS version.

The iOS version of the TCC is much smaller. So far we did not test how to add other input sources than the UITouch input source to iOS applications. Therefore all of the settings for the input sources are removed. iOS allows only one full screen window per application. This is why we decided to allow only one scene size for MTK applications started in iOS, which is full screen. Any Viewport or scene related settings are therefore removed. What is still included is the start scene and which tangibles will be included in this scene, as well as the definition of new tangibles and the deletion of old ones.

Few settings are available in the iOS TCC.

The current version can be seen in Figure 3.20. In the left column are all available scenes. The selected one is the one that will be used as start scene. In the other column are all tangibles which are defined. The selected one will be added to the starting scene. By pressing delete tangibles the TCC will switch in deletion mode. If one then selects tangibles they will be deleted if the delete tangibles button is pressed again. If one presses the config tangibles button it will switch to the MTKTangibleCreationScene. The start
The current implementation of the iOS MTK is very limited. Applications built with the MTK have more possible settings, which cannot be set with the current TCC in iOS. Additionally, this TCC for iOS is not a standalone application, but is loaded at each start of the application in iOS. A better solution would be a standalone application that changes the configuration file, which is then loaded by the MTK.

### 3.6.3 MTKTangibleCreationScene

The MTKTangibleCreationScene is used by the TCC in MacOS as well as in iOS. It allows to create and define new tangibles. The difference in functionality only concerns the Close button. In iOS it will return to the TCC, while in MacOS the close will close the window in which the MTKTangibleCreationScene was opened.

The first thing to do when configuring a new tangible is to decide if the tangible is a PUC or PERC. If any Bluetooth

A new TCC for future MTK versions is required.
module is in range and not used for another tangible description a button with the name is added in the top left corner of the scene. With these buttons one can select an bluetooth identifier for the creation of a PERC, if none is selected the created tangible will be a PUC. The currently selected identifier is shown in the label at the top of the scene.

After this the tangible should be placed in the gray area. If all three markers generate touches the Scan button should be pressed. If there are exactly three touches in the gray area, a new tangible will be generated using those traces. Pressing Save will then add the new tangible description to the existing ones.

Using the arrows on the right one may change the size and offset of the tangible’s area. All other settings are set to standard values and can be accessed via the configuration file. The Clear button will delete all of the existing tangible descriptions.
This scene is a good helper to define standard tangibles, but if a non standard pattern is used some settings will only be accessible through direct manipulation of the configuration file. This is impossible in iOS since the access of data from other apps is not allowed and the scene itself does not offer any access to the file. In MacOS this is possible, but often cumbersome. Setting for example the offset of the light sensor is important but not that easy in non standard pattern, since it is not clear where the actual position of the tangible is and how the x- and y-axis is placed. Especially this setting could be done automatically by the MTK. Turning one half of the scene white and the other black should allow to identify on which side the tangible is. Doing the same thing with the correct half of the scene will result in some kind of binary search and will ultimately find the light sensors position and thereby the offset of the light sensor.
3.7 Sample Applications

We implemented several sample applications using the MTK. Three of the most prominent are listed in this section: **Tangible Demo**, **Airhockey** and **ColorFighter**.

**Tangible Demo.** When using the MTK or configuring tangibles it is always important to know if the detection of tangibles is correct and that the application is running correctly. The tangible demo is included in the MTK and is a scene where all tangibles are replaced using a holo indicating the current position and rotation of the tangible. Additionally the name of the tangible is listed.

**Airhockey.** We implemented a small airhockey game. Thanks to Florian Busch we got two tangibles that look like air hockey mallets. We used the physics of SpriteKit to add digital objects that follow each tangible mallet. These digital objects will collide with the digital airhockey puck. By
Figure 3.23: ColorFighter is a game similar to Space Invaders. The player has to hit enemy ships with a shot colored as the enemy’s ship.

adjusting friction and bounciness we achieved a relative realistic feeling. The game works like a normal airhockey game. If the airhockey puck will hit the edges it will bounce back and if it goes in one of the goals the other player will get a point and eventually win. To show that this combination of real and digital world is capable of more than the physical tables, we added a power up that will add another puck to the game if collected.

**ColorFighter.** Another implementation is the game ColorFighter. The player gets three ships that will automatically shoot. At the opposite side of the screen smaller enemy ships will spawn. They try to reach the player’s side before getting shot. If they archive this the player will lose a live, which is indicated by the health bar at the left side. After the player loses 10 lives the game is over. To make the game more interesting enemy ships have different colors. Each ship can only be destroyed if it is hit by a shot with the same color. The color of the shot is defined by the color of the ship that fired the shot. The player has three differently colored ships. If two ships are close to each other
their colors will mix and create a new one. This effect is lost if they are moved away from each other. If both of the other ships are in reach of the third it will turn white. Like this the player can achieve 7 different colors. Enemy ships will have one of these colors. In the current implementation the players ship are movable using drag and rotate gestures. In a future version we plan to use tangibles for this game, too.
Chapter 4

Evaluation

In this chapter we take a look into the work of Kammer et al. [2010], who analyzed different existing multi-touch frameworks. He identified several components with which he can distinguish multi-touch frameworks. In Figure 4.1 one can see an overview of the features Kammer et al. [2010] identified. We will now proceed from bottom to top in this diagram and evaluate how the MTK addresses the different parts which are divided into features, scope, and architecture.

4.1 Architecture

The lowest area in Figure 4.1 is the architecture. It is divided in two layers. The lowest layer consists of two parts: Platform and hardware independence. The layer above consists of the event system. We will discuss all three parts below.

Platform independence. The fact that the framework is not bound to one operating system but can be used on any platform is called platform independence. A platform independent framework can reach a bigger number of users, since it can be used on more systems. Frameworks often...
Figure 4.1: Replication of the diagram in Kammer et al. [2010]. It shows the different layers and features a multi-touch framework can have.

accomplish this by using programming languages like Java (Laufs and Ruff [2010]), Python (Hansen et al. [2009]) or JavaScript (Nebeling and Norrie [2012]), which are platform independence.

The disadvantages of platform independence is for example the loss of performance and the lack of platform specific features. Performance often suffers when using cross platform languages like Java [Hansen et al., 2009] [Nebeling and Norrie, 2012], since they may need additional overhead to run on all systems. Other languages may offer cross compiling to overcome this performance issue. Nevertheless will developers of cross compiled applications lack the ability to use the native IDEs and frameworks developed for a specific operating system or programming language.

Since our main focus is to develop a framework for MacOS and iOS applications we decided that we will use Objective-C. Using this the MTK will be a native framework for MacOS and iOS, but not run on any other operating system. This allows us to use software development features for iOS and MacOS provided by Apple, but limits the operating systems the framework supports.
Hardware independence. The second part in the lowest layer of Figure 4.1 is the hardware independence. Hardware independence means that the framework may support touch input from many input sources. The usage of several input sources should be eased by the framework. Most of the existing frameworks achieve this by using TUIO \cite{Kammer2010}, but some also support Windows 7 Touch and custom device adapters. An overview can be found in Figure 4.2.

We introduced several implementations of input sources in Section 3.2.2 to ensure the hardware independence of the MTK. We implemented a TUIO input source and custom implementations for other sources. Also Windows 7 Touch and any other device is supported using the JSON input source and its possibilities to modify received data via delegate.

Event system. The third part in the architecture layer is the event system. In this part \cite{Kammer2010} identifies two variants. The first one is that the framework has some kind of gesture server which may process all gestures and sends all gesture events for example via network. This achieves some kind of loose coupling, allowing several clients to listen to the same gestures. The second alternative is that the framework’s gesture recognizers raise gesture events which will be processed by the listeners.

In the MTK we implemented the second variants. Gestures as well as all touch input are processed locally on each node. The delegate of the recognizers or the set action blocks may react on state changes.

The second distinction done by \cite{Kammer2010} in the event system is also related to gestures, but part of the actual gesture recognition instead of the distribution of the gesture events. In some frameworks the gesture processing is done central using a gesture registry and an abstraction of the UI. The registry then queries the application about its visual components to associate gestures with UI elements. The decentral approach is that each UI element allowing gestures processes them by itself.
In this case the argumentation is the same: The MTK is
decentral, since the touch and gesture processing is com-
pletely done on each node.

4.2 Scope

The second area in Figure 4.1 is the scope. Scope has three
parts: gesture parameters, tangible objects, and Touches.
As in the architecture section we will now discuss each part.

**Tangible objects.** Kammer et al. [2010] state that most of
the frameworks support tangibles simply by implementing
the TUIO protocol. Like this the framework can either pro-
cess tangibles or send recognized tangibles to clients via
network. Only a few of the frameworks actually focus on
tangibles.

As already explained in Section 3.3 the MTK has a focus
on recognizing PUCs and PERCs. It is not capable of rec-
ognizing TUIO tangibles. This is a feature that could be
integrated in future versions.

**Touches.** Kammer et al. [2010] stated no clear distinc-
tion that can be made in this area, but discussed what
touch information each framework provided. While some
frameworks provide only a position per touch, many other
frameworks have additional information like direction,
size, and more.

In Section 3.2.1 we presented MTKTrace, which contains
all information the MTK provides for a single touch. It in-
cludes a history of all information ever received for a single
touch, including identifier, position, size, and more. Sev-
eral analysis are also already implemented, like the check if
the trace is stationary or how old it is. Additionally can ges-
tures and other objects perform any needed analysis using
the trace’s entries, which serve as the touch’s history.
4.3 Features

**Gesture parameters.** As in the previous paragraph, Kammer et al. [2010] did not provide a clear definition of what gesture parameters are and how they judged if a framework provides them or not. In general this point means that the framework provides parameters of recognized gestures, which specific parameters are provided depends on the gesture.

In case of the MTK this is highly dependent on the gesture recognizer itself. All gesture recognizers provide the traces they used for recognition, but no other gesture parameters that are the same for all recognizer are provided. Each gesture can define its own set of parameters. This may be a limitation of the MTK if such parameters are required, since developers may have to extend the gestures to be provided with these parameters.

4.3 Features

The top area in Figure 4.1 by Kammer et al. [2010] is named features. It is separated in three layers: standard gestures, gesture extensibility, and visualization support.

**Standard gestures.** The first layer, standard gestures, contains everything related to the support of standard gestures. All frameworks evaluated by Kammer et al. [2010] support gestures. The distinction which is made is if the framework supports online and offline gestures. Online gestures are gestures like rotation and scale, which are processed while the user still interacts with the system. Offline gestures are evaluated after an interaction, like the analysis of touches forming a circle to activate a menu.

Both of these gesture types are supported by the MTK. As described in Section 3.4, gestures are processed on each node with the nodes bounded traces. In doing so the implementation of online gestures like scale and rotation are easily performed. Additionally can gesture recognizers receive more touches by accessing the node’s old traces or
Evaluation

all traces in the scene. This allows the processing of offline gestures.

Another gesture type defined by Kammer et al. [2010] are global gestures, which some frameworks support. These gestures are available application wide and can be performed independently from the content. As we already described in Section 3.2.3 they are processed in the fifth step of the initialization of the touch processing. Here they are processed independently from the active scene.

Gesture extensibility. The second layer is the Gesture extensibility. Each framework should provide a simple way to implement new gestures. Kammer et al. [2010] reported that the evaluated frameworks range from providing just raw data to subclassing.

The gesture recognizers and their extensibility are explained in Section 3.4. Each existing gesture can be modified using a delegate, action blocks and a recognition block. Additionally any new gesture can be implemented by subclassing MTKGestureRecognizer, which already provides functionalities like the block management. All gesture recognizers provide all traces related to their recognition process, as well as access to all other existing traces, which equivalents an access to all sent raw data. Therefore the MTK offers all possibilities for gesture extensibility described.

Visualization support. The last layer in Figure 4.1 is the visualization support. Kammer et al. [2010] state that any framework needs visualization support, either in having an own set of extensible UI components, or the possibility to create own UI elements.

The MTK provides built-in UI elements as well as the creation of own ones. As described in SpriteKit is a framework to render nearly any possible 2D scene. The base of all scenes are SKNodes, which we extended with categories to be touchable. Because of this any scene build with SpriteKit
4.4 Summary

Kammer et al. [2010] identified several aspects to distinct existing frameworks. We evaluated each part for the MTK. To sum up this evaluation we revisit Figure 4.1 in which Kammer et al. [2010] listed all evaluated frameworks and their features.

The MTK can now also be placed in this figure. Going from left to right in the figure, and top to bottom in this chapter:
The MTK ...

- ... is partly cross-platform, since it supports MacOS and iOS, but no other platform.
- ... has no gesture server, but has an integrated library.
- ... supports parts of TUIO.
- ... can support Windows 7.
- ... can support any device adapter.
- ... has decentral gesture events.
- ... has a focus on tangibles (PUCs and PERCs).
- ... provides touch parameters.
- ... can provide gesture parameters.
- ... supports online and offline gestures.
- ... supports gesture extensibility via super class, raw data, delegate and blocks.
- ... includes visualization support due to the support of any SpriteKit scene and custom UI elements.
Chapter 5

Summary

We introduced the MultiTouchKit, a framework to integrate multi-touch, PUCs and PERCs into MacOS and iOS. We described all current features, ongoing developments and possible improvements of the MTK. Additionally we compared those features against those identified in their evaluation of existing multi-touch frameworks. To summarize we can state that the MTK addressed all features presented by.

5.1 Future work

Since the development time of the framework was limited, we concentrated on a reliable basis and had to ignore some features that may be very interesting for future versions. Some of those were already discussed at different points in the work. In this section we list some essential features we would like to see in future versions.

Enhance UI and Gestures. We already explained that our focus was to integrate different input sources and to recognize tangibles, therefore gestures and standard UI elements are in a very basic state. Especially the UI elements would benefit from a common event system, which could be ex-
tended to gesture events. A loadable GUI configuration file which may include a color template would also be a nice extension to customize UI elements without recompiling the MTK or manipulating them in the source code.

The TCC is a helpful tool to configure the MTK. Unfortunately it is missing several settings due to the complexity of the settings the MTK offers. It needs a complete redo to be a perfect configuration tool for the MTK. Additionally, since iOS now allows the access of data from other applications, it would be much more helpful if the TCC is a standalone application that configures a shared settings file. The current implementation is a scene that is loaded before the actual application.

The MTK is able to receive touch information via TUIO, but none of TUIO’s tangible information are used. We could support any kind of light sensing technology if we address TUIO input in more detail. The MTK could easily support tangibles here, which are different from PUCs and PERCs.

The development of the MTK was done mostly for standard PERCs. This was a given requirement by the supervisor since it would probably result in the most reliable tangible detection for the MTK. We think that it is possible to implement a similar reliable implementation that works on any form of PERCs. In many cases we already implemented the detection in a general manner, but in some situations it is still focused on the standard design.

Additionally are some cases in the detection not reliable because PERCs still have some flaws. For example is the light sensor not very reliable. We could improve the recognition process if the hardware is more reliable. It may also be possible that PERCs get an updated hardware that may allow new interaction possibilities, which may allow a much better recognition. For example Florian Busch works in his thesis on movable PERCs, which is another connection between digital and real world using tangibles that can react to changes in the digital world. We already included very basic support to sent data to tangibles, but this could be extended to allow easy access to the tangible’s data.
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